

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2001*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
TOTAL	1,405	1,171	88	84	62	3,683	732
FEDERAL	481	423	16	25	17	1,968	294
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	2	2	-	-	-	21	4
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	5	-	-	3	4	4
CALIFORNIA							
LOS ANGELES	103	99	-	3	1	149	6
MONTEREY	2	1	-	-	1	6	3
RIVERSIDE	5	5	-	-	-	53	-
SACRAMENTO	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
SAN BERNARDINO	1	1	-	-	-	11	-
SAN DIEGO	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	1	-	-	-	2	2
SANTA BARBARA	2	2	-	-	-	1	1
SANTA CLARA	3	3	-	-	-	17	2
SHASTA	2	2	-	-	-	3	1
TEHAMA	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	3	-	-	-	3	8	4
COLORADO							
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	1	1	-	-	-	34	26
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	1	-	-	1	-	3	-
CONNECTICUT							
HARTFORD	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LITCHFIELD	3	3	-	-	-	6	-
NEW BRITAIN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA							
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	4	3	-	1	-	8	7
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	3	3	-	-	-	6	-
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	11	11	-	-	-	43	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	4	4	-	-	-	7	2
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	7	6	1	-	-	29	7
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	18	18	-	-	-	45	7

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2001 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combinaifon**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
GEORGIA							
BIBB	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS							
COOK	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DE WITT	2	-	2	-	-	2	1
EDGAR	3	-	3	-	-	2	-
FAYETTE	3	-	3	-	-	1	-
HAMILTON	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
HENRY	32	8	23	1	-	10	-
LEE	14	3	3	1	7	22	21
WASHINGTON	24	2	22	-	-	11	9
WHITE	5	5	-	-	-	2	-
WILL	15	-	-	-	15	7	-
MARYLAND							
BALTIMORE	24	24	-	-	-	28	2
BALTIMORE CITY	23	16	-	4	3	37	10
HOWARD	2	1	-	1	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS							
BARNSTABLE	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	9	-	-	-	13	1
MINNESOTA							
HENNEPIN	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
MISSISSIPPI							
15TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
22ND CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT	2	1	-	-	1	-	-
HARRISON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA							
DOUGLAS	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
LINCOLN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	14	-
NEW JERSEY							
BERGEN	2	2	-	-	-	4	-
BURLINGTON	2	2	-	-	-	1	-
CAMDEN	5	5	-	-	-	16	-
CAPE MAY	1	1	-	-	-	11	-
CUMBERLAND	7	7	-	-	-	30	-
GLOUCESTER	4	4	-	-	-	57	-
HUDSON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTERDON	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2001 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
NEW JERSEY (Continued)							
MIDDLESEX	2	2	-	-	-	14	-
MORRIS	1	1	-	-	-	31	-
PASSAIC	11	10	1	-	-	22	2
SOMERSET	5	4	-	1	-	5	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	10	-	3	-	74	-
UNION	14	13	-	1	-	48	6
NEW YORK							
DUTCHESS	1	1	-	-	-	9	-
KINGS	45	44	1	-	-	55	24
MONROE	20	19	1	-	-	5	1
NASSAU	5	3	-	1	1	63	36
NEW YORK	9	8	-	-	1	14	9
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	28	21	1	1	5	48	21
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	109	86	1	22	-	82	42
ONEIDA	3	3	-	-	-	12	12
ONONDAGA	5	4	-	-	1	16	12
QUEENS	117	101	4	11	1	205	34
RENSSELAER	1	1	-	-	-	2	2
ROCKLAND	13	12	-	1	-	17	14
SUFFOLK	10	7	1	2	-	15	4
TOMPKINS	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	42	38	1	2	1	28	13
OHIO							
DARKE	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
PUTNAM	1	1	-	-	-	17	-
OKLAHOMA							
OKLAHOMA	2	1	-	1	-	53	-
OREGON							
DESCHUTES	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
BERKS	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	2	1	1	-	-	1	-
DAUPHIN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	3	3	-	-	-	43	39
MONTGOMERY	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	7	7	-	-	-	3	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	36	35	-	1	-	43	3
TEXAS							
LUBBOCK	1	1	-	-	-	36	35
UTAH							
SALT LAKE	3	3	-	-	-	5	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2001 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
VIRGINIA STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON STEVENS	1	1	-	-	-	1	1
WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE	2	2	-	-	-	15	8
RACINE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

* NR = Not reported. NP = No prosecutor's report.

** Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

*** Convictions resulting from interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.