

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2000*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
TOTAL	1,139	927	52	89	71	3,411	736
FEDERAL	472	399	19	24	30	1,774	350
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	6	4	-	-	2	112	38
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	12	6	-	3	3	55	2
CALIFORNIA							
FRESNO	5	5	-	-	-	1	-
LOS ANGELES	78	62	-	-	16	180	13
MARIPOSA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	3	2	-	-	1	2	-
VENTURA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO							
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
12TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (RIO GRANDE)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
14TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GRAND)	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT							
NEW BRITAIN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	3	3	-	-	-	6	1
FLORIDA							
2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEON)	1	1	-	-	-	23	-
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	8	8	-	-	-	38	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	16	16	-	-	-	42	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	5	5	-	-	-	4	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	11	10	-	1	-	12	-
20TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEE)	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
GEORGIA							
FLOYD	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
TALLAPOOSA	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO							
LATAH	1	1	-	-	-	1	1
ILLINOIS							
BROWN	1	-	1	-	-	4	2
COOK	9	8	1	-	-	21	-
EDGAR	2	-	2	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2000 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
ILLINOIS (Continued)							
FAYETTE	4	-	4	-	-	-	-
JERSEY	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX	6	-	6	-	-	1	1
LEE	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
MCHENRY	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
MOULTRIE	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	4	2	2	-	-	3	2
WASHINGTON	7	2	5	-	-	6	2
IOWA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
KANSAS							
JOHNSON	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
LOUISIANA							
BATON ROUGE	1	1	-	-	-	5	-
MARYLAND							
BALTIMORE	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	20	19	-	1	-	92	-
MASSACHUSETTS							
NORFOLK	2	2	-	-	-	17	7
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	1	-	2	-	-	-
NEVADA							
CARSON CITY	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK	7	7	-	-	-	4	-
WASHOE	1	-	-	-	1	3	-
NEW JERSEY							
CAPE MAY	1	1	-	-	-	7	6
ESSEX	2	2	-	-	-	3	-
HUDSON	2	1	-	1	-	23	-
MERCER	3	3	-	-	-	15	-
MIDDLESEX	1	1	-	-	-	5	-
MORRIS	5	5	-	-	-	65	25
PASSAIC	4	4	-	-	-	18	-
SALEM	1	1	-	-	-	40	-
SOMERSET	6	4	1	1	-	9	10
UNION	13	13	-	-	-	23	7
NEW MEXICO							
BERNALILLO	4	3	1	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK							
BRONX	12	11	-	1	-	32	17
KINGS	16	12	-	4	-	32	23
MONROE	11	11	-	-	-	1	1

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2000 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
NEW YORK (Continued)							
NASSAU	3	-	-	2	1	17	2
NEW YORK	32	26	2	2	2	3	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	16	12	-	-	4	56	48
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	102	82	-	19	1	105	48
ONEIDA	4	4	-	-	-	12	12
ONONDAGA	9	4	-	-	5	35	8
QUEENS	88	63	3	22	-	199	35
ROCKLAND	5	4	-	-	1	7	7
SAINT LAWRENCE	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	1	1	-	-	-	10	10
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	7	4
SUFFOLK	11	10	-	1	-	23	6
WESTCHESTER	8	7	-	1	-	19	10
OHIO							
DARKE	2	-	2	-	-	-	-
DEFIANCE	1	1	-	-	-	5	-
WASHINGTON	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	4	-	-	-	55	14
OREGON							
LINCOLN	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
BERKS	3	3	-	-	-	2	-
LEHIGH	5	5	-	-	-	20	-
MONTGOMERY	1	1	-	-	-	30	-
PHILADELPHIA	5	3	-	2	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	26	24	-	2	-	81	3
WASHINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	2	-
TENNESSEE							
DAVIDSON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH							
SALT LAKE	3	2	-	-	1	39	21
WASHINGTON							
MASON	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
STEVENS	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN							
MILWAUKEE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

* NR = Not reported. NP = No prosecutor's report.

** Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

*** Convictions resulting from interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was first reported.
See Tables 8 and 9.