

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2002*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
TOTAL	1,358	1,273	92	1,708	403
FEDERAL	497	490	94	2,354	413
ARIZONA					
MARICOPA	3	3	80	3,790	1,131
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	4	61	3,596	141
CALIFORNIA					
ALAMEDA	1	1	120	981	366
LOS ANGELES	103	99	155	847	151
ORANGE	10	10	156	386	96
RIVERSIDE	4	4	339	1,311	165
SACRAMENTO	1	1	387	4,643	772
SAN BERNARDINO	15	15	47	1,268	202
SANTA BARBARA	4	3	330	699	105
SOLANO	1	1	173	1,168	335
VENTURA	4	4	115	4,008	627
FLORIDA					
1ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ESCAMBRIA)	4	4	47	1,452	105
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	11	10	47	3,783	397
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	5	5	38	887	133
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	7	7	126	3,278	189
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	7	7	61	1,166	275
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	3	1	NR	NR	NR
GEORGIA					
BIBB	3	3	23	1,330	345
DOUGHERTY	1	1	3	18	NR
ILLINOIS					
CLARK	1	1	2	2	NR
CRAWFORD	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
DEKALB	3	3	1	3	3
DEWITT	2	2	2	4	2
HENRY	9	9	1	1	1
LEE	1	1	1	1	-
ROCK ISLAND	1	1	-	-	-
UNION	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
WHITE	2	1	1	1	1
LOUISIANA					
JEFFERSON	1	1	4	2	2
MARYLAND					
BALTIMORE	26	25	267	762	62
BALTIMORE CITY	28	24	560	1,787	149

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2002 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
MASSACHUSETTS					
NORFOLK	1	1	28	650	300
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	7	37	602	134
MISSISSIPPI					
16TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT	1	1	338	5,464	1,131
NEVADA					
CLARK	3	3	97	839	-
ELKO	1	1	1	1	1
NEW JERSEY					
BURLINGTON	1	1	9	10	-
CAMDEN	2	2	37	1,969	512
GLOUCESTER	2	2	35	2,756	490
HUDSON	6	NP	NP	NP	NP
HUNTERDON	1	1	58	300	156
MIDDLESEX	32	32	139	835	214
MORRIS	2	2	35	1,158	363
PASSAIC	5	NP	NP	NP	NP
SOMERSET	6	3	24	386	77
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17	5	110	424	25
UNION	7	4	9	2,442	1,526
NEW MEXICO					
BERNALILLO	3	2	23	650	50
NEW YORK					
ALBANY	1	1	65	2,141	928
DUTCHESS	4	2	27	1,923	28
KINGS	16	12	180	2,684	455
MONROE	16	16	19	529	85
NASSAU	3	3	66	5,853	4,295
NEW YORK	3	3	74	2,901	976
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	7	7	155	14,079	7,951
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	163	161	31	788	165
ORANGE	1	1	104	14,551	626
QUEENS	129	127	35	1,125	535
RENSSELAER	1	1	38	1,908	113
ROCKLAND	8	8	49	2,668	2,180
SCHENECTADY	3	3	12	2,680	2,342
SUFFOLK	36	35	46	1,882	584
WESTCHESTER	13	13	68	1,329	678
NORTH CAROLINA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	2	16	2
OHIO					
LORAIN	1	1	142	5,835	174

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2002 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed**		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
OKLAHOMA					
COMANCHE	2	2	218	1,168	70
PENNSYLVANIA					
ALLEGHENY	6	6	17	429	83
BERKS	13	13	50	1,144	314
BLAIR	1	1	27	165	23
CHESTER	10	9	24	361	100
ELK	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
LANCASTER	3	NP	NP	NP	NP
LEHIGH	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
LUZERNE	2	2	50	2,084	1,279
MONROE	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
MONTGOMERY	17	10	71	789	139
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	24	22	89	823	285
TEXAS					
BEXAR	1	1	58	1,227	99
LAMAR	1	1	14	843	2
UTAH					
SALT LAKE	4	3	207	4,447	233

* NR = Not reported or could not be determined. NP = No prosecutor's report.

** Excludes those reports in which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, or the number of incriminating intercepts was not reported or could not be determined.