

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 1999

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Place/Facility Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Single-Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-Dwelling	Business	Roving	Combination**	Other
TOTAL	1,350	2	42	31	1,277	1,367	27	29	63,243	248	92	1	59	18	269	663
FEDERAL	601	1	-	6	595	461	29	29	27,108	93	25	-	30	1	133	319
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	4	-	1	-	3	3	30	30	80	1	-	-	-	-	3	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	-	-	-	4	2	30	30	135	2	1	-	-	-	1	-
CALIFORNIA																
LOS ANGELES	62	-	-	2	60	19	30	30	1,955	11	-	-	-	-	16	35
MONTEREY	1	-	-	-	1	-	7	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
ORANGE	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ	2	-	-	-	2	-	7	-	9	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	5	-	-	-	5	1	26	20	109	-	-	-	-	1	3	1
COLORADO																
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	51	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	60	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
CONNECTICUT																
FAIRFIELD	1	-	-	-	1	-	15	-	11	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITCHFIELD	6	-	-	-	6	-	15	-	66	4	-	-	-	-	-	2
NEW HAVEN	4	-	-	-	4	-	15	-	38	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW LONDON	2	-	-	-	2	-	15	-	24	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	-	-	2	-	15	-	13	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE																
NEW CASTLE	1	-	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
FLORIDA																
2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEON)	4	-	-	-	4	2	30	30	119	1	1	-	-	-	-	2
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	90	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	1	-	-	-	1	-	18	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	6	-	-	-	6	5	30	30	290	-	-	-	-	-	5	1
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	8	-	-	-	8	4	28	30	233	2	-	-	1	-	-	5
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
GEORGIA																
BALDWIN	3	-	-	-	3	1	20	20	70	1	-	-	-	-	-	2
BIBB	3	-	-	-	3	-	20	-	42	-	-	-	1	2	-	-
CLARKE	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	15	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
CLAYTON	1	-	1	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
COFFEE	1	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
ROCKDALE	2	-	-	-	2	1	20	20	60	2	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 1999 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Place/Facility Authorized in Original Application							
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Single-Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-Dwelling	Business	Roving	Combination**	Other	
IDAHO																	
CANYON	1	-	-	-	1	-	7	-	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS																	
EDGAR	5	-	-	2	3	2	9	10	7	-	-	-	-	4	-	1	
IROQUOIS	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MCHENRY	13	-	-	-	13	2	10	10	28	5	-	1	3	-	2	2	
MOULTRIE	4	-	-	1	3	3	10	10	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	1	
POPE	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RICHLAND	2	-	-	1	1	-	10	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	
WASHINGTON	24	-	-	6	18	-	10	-	20	8	5	-	-	-	-	11	
KANSAS																	
JOHNSON	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	69	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	
MARYLAND																	
ALLEGANY	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
CECIL	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HOWARD	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	53	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	
MASSACHUSETTS																	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	15	-	-	1	14	21	15	15	370	5	-	-	-	-	4	6	
MINNESOTA																	
CARLTON	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
CLAY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	15	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
WINONA	4	-	-	-	4	3	30	30	111	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	
MISSISSIPPI																	
DESOTO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	22	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LEE	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	59	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	
NEBRASKA																	
DOUGLAS	4	-	-	-	4	3	30	23	169	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	
NEVADA																	
CLARK	9	1	-	-	9	-	30	-	102	5	1	-	1	-	-	2	
NEW HAMPSHIRE																	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	-	-	-	9	23	10	10	312	2	1	-	1	-	-	5	
NEW JERSEY																	
BERGEN	2	-	2	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	
CAMDEN	6	-	5	-	1	2	28	30	6	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	
ESSEX	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	17	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
GLOUCESTER	2	-	-	-	2	-	20	-	24	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
HUDSON	12	-	7	-	5	16	30	30	366	2	-	-	1	-	-	9	
HUNTERDON	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	20	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
MERCER	2	-	2	-	-	3	20	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
MIDDLESEX	10	-	-	-	10	-	20	-	146	5	-	-	-	1	-	4	
PASSAIC	5	-	-	-	5	9	28	30	338	-	1	-	-	-	-	4	
SOMERSET	7	-	-	-	7	3	20	10	140	1	2	-	-	-	-	4	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	12	-	12	-	-	8	30	30	-	2	-	-	1	-	1	8	
UNION	11	-	-	-	11	5	20	10	253	-	1	-	-	-	5	5	

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 1999 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Place/Facility Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Single-Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-Dwelling	Business	Rowing	Combination**	Other
NEW YORK																
BRONX	5	-	-	-	5	3	30	30	182	-	1	-	-	-	2	2
KINGS	16	-	-	1	15	15	30	30	704	2	5	-	1	-	-	8
MADISON	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	41	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
MONROE	5	-	5	-	-	1	30	30	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	1
NASSAU	14	-	-	-	14	6	28	30	386	2	1	-	-	-	7	4
NEW YORK	83	-	1	1	81	374	30	30	12,737	8	5	-	5	-	48	17
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	12	-	-	-	12	24	30	30	916	3	1	-	1	-	7	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	135	-	-	5	130	170	30	30	7,102	-	13	-	2	-	-	120
ONONDAGA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	22	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	15	-	-	-	15	56	30	30	1,772	-	-	-	-	-	11	4
RENSSELAER	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
ROCKLAND	6	-	-	1	5	6	30	30	301	-	1	-	-	-	5	-
SARATOGA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
SUFFOLK	22	-	-	-	22	16	30	30	912	13	1	-	-	-	-	8
TOMPKINS	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	23	-	-	-	23	59	30	30	2,157	-	9	-	3	-	2	9
OHIO																
DARKE	2	-	-	-	2	-	10	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
ROSS	1	-	-	1	-	-	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
OKLAHOMA	6	-	-	1	5	1	30	30	112	4	-	-	-	-	-	2
OREGON																
MULTNOMAH	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
BERKS	3	-	-	-	3	2	30	30	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
BUCKS	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
CHESTER	3	-	-	1	2	-	30	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
DELAWARE	6	-	-	-	6	-	30	-	180	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
LACKAWANNA	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	8	-	1	-	7	-	26	-	64	-	-	-	2	1	3	2
PHILADELPHIA	22	-	-	1	21	12	30	30	785	6	7	-	-	-	-	9
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	25	-	-	-	25	9	30	30	746	11	-	-	1	-	-	13
TENNESSEE																
MONTGOMERY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	23	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS																
SHELBY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
TOM GREEN	3	-	-	-	3	1	23	30	83	2	-	-	-	-	-	1
UTAH																
SALT LAKE	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	51	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	6	-	-	-	6	-	30	-	123	3	-	-	2	-	-	1

* Based on the actual number of intercept devices installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

** Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.