

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2002

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
TOTAL	1,358	94	58	27	1,273	889	29	29	50,025	154	37	1,046	85	27	9	-
FEDERAL	497	8	-	7	490	284	30	30	19,721	29	18	415	27	5	3	-
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	3	1	-	-	3	3	28	30	134	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	1	-	-	4	2	27	28	165	-	-	3	1	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA																
ALAMEDA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	26	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	103	3	2	2	99	39	30	30	3,622	2	-	79	14	8	-	-
ORANGE	10	-	-	-	10	-	30	-	270	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	4	-	-	-	4	2	30	30	155	-	-	3	1	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	1	-	-	-	1	1	28	17	45	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	15	-	-	-	15	10	30	30	707	1	-	14	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	4	-	1	-	3	-	30	-	89	-	-	1	3	-	-	-
SOLANO	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	51	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
VENTURA	4	1	-	-	4	10	30	30	345	2	-	2	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA																
1ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ESCAMBRIA)	4	2	-	-	4	1	30	10	105	2	-	2	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	11	-	-	1	10	4	30	30	254	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	5	3	-	-	5	3	30	30	199	2	-	3	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	7	-	-	-	7	5	30	27	310	1	-	3	1	-	2	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	7	-	-	-	7	3	30	30	194	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	3	-	2	-	1	-	30	-	14	1	-	2	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA																
BIBB	3	-	-	-	3	1	20	20	71	1	-	2	-	-	-	-
DOUGHERTY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS																
CLARK	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	60	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CRAWFORD	5	-	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEKALB	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	6	2	-	-	1	-	-	-
DEWITT	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
HENRY	9	-	-	-	9	-	30	-	6	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
UNION	1	-	1	-	-	-	10	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	2	-	-	1	1	-	30	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA																
JEFFERSON	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
MARYLAND																
BALTIMORE	26	-	-	1	25	12	30	30	718	2	-	23	1	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	28	-	4	-	24	12	27	30	740	2	-	26	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2002 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)		Total Number of Days in Operation	Location Authorized in Original Application							
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions		Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified	
MASSACHUSETTS																	
NORFOLK	1	-	-	-	1	2	15	14	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	-	-	-	7	7	15	15	156	1	-	5	-	1	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI																	
16TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
NEVADA																	
CLARK	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	33	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
ELKO	1	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																	
BURLINGTON	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
CAMDEN	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	83	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	2	1	-	-	2	2	11	10	42	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	6	-	6	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTERDON	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	32	-	-	-	32	9	20	10	631	3	-	19	10	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	10	74	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
PASSAIC	5	-	5	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	3	-	1	1	-	-
SOMERSET	6	-	2	1	3	-	20	-	20	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17	2	12	-	5	6	26	30	189	-	2	15	-	-	-	-	-
UNION	7	-	3	-	4	2	26	10	72	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO																	
BERNALILLO	3	-	-	1	2	-	30	-	22	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK																	
ALBANY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	4	3	1	1	2	2	30	30	72	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
KINGS	16	9	4	-	12	22	30	30	735	9	1	6	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	16	-	-	-	16	2	30	30	361	2	-	14	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	3	3	-	-	3	4	30	30	192	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	3	-	-	-	3	17	30	30	389	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	7	3	-	-	7	66	30	30	2,060	-	-	2	5	-	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	163	1	-	2	161	65	30	30	4,674	3	-	158	-	2	-	-	-
ORANGE	1	1	-	-	1	3	30	30	115	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	129	19	-	2	127	156	26	30	6,412	17	4	105	1	2	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	8	5	-	-	8	25	30	30	926	-	1	7	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	3	1	-	-	3	1	30	14	92	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	36	18	-	1	35	58	30	30	2,286	11	4	20	-	1	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	13	1	-	-	13	16	29	30	571	5	-	7	-	1	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA																	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO																	
LORAIN	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	12	41	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2002 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
OKLAHOMA																
COMANCHE	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	62	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
ALLEGHENY	6	4	-	-	6	2	17	14	127	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
BERKS	13	1	-	-	13	1	26	22	278	8	-	5	-	-	-	-
BLAIR	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	10	-	1	-	9	3	30	30	242	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
ELK	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	3	-	3	-	-	2	30	30	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
LUZERNE	2	1	-	-	2	-	30	-	42	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1	-	1	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	17	-	-	7	10	4	30	30	203	6	1	7	2	1	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	24	-	2	-	22	5	30	30	434	4	1	18	1	-	-	-
TEXAS																
BEXAR	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	27	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
LAMAR	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH																
SALT LAKE	4	2	1	-	3	3	30	30	139	-	1	1	2	-	-	-

* Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

** Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.