

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ALABAMA, MIDDLE									
1	DEMENT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/00	30	-	30
2	HOBBS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/00	30	1	60
ARIZONA									
1	BROWNING	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/99	30	4	150
2	CARROLL	WARREN	FRAUD	WS,WC	B,D	11/03/99	30	1	60
3	SILVER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,EF	B,D	12/17/99	30	2	90
4	BROWNING	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	R	01/07/00	30	-	30
5	BROWNING	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/14/00	30	-	30
6	MARQUEZ	RIVERA	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/06/00	30	3	120
7	SILVER	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WS	B	03/22/00	30	-	30
8	BROWNING	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/17/00	30	1	60
602**	BROWNING	KERN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/09/99	30	3	120
603**	BROWNING	KERN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/14/99	30	5	180
604**	BROWNING	KERN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/99	30	3	120
605**	SILVER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/99	30	1	60
ARKANSAS, EASTERN									
1	HOWARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/04/00	30	-	30
2	MOODY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/26/00	30	1	60
ARKANSAS, WESTERN									
1	DAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/00	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN									
1	PATEL	GERSHEL	RACKETEERING	WS	H,B	03/10/00	30	-	30
2	CHESNEY	GERSHEL	SMUGGLING	WC	D	04/24/00	30	1	60
3	ILLSTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/09/00	30	1	60
4	INGRAM	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/00	30	-	30
5	CHESNEY	KEENEY	SMUGGLING	WC	D	06/14/00	30	1	60
6	CHESNEY	DIGREGORY	SMUGGLING	WC	D	09/06/00	30	-	30
7	CHESNEY	DIGREGORY	SMUGGLING	WS	H	09/06/00	30	-	30
567*	WILKEN	LAETTNER	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	11/19/97	30	1	60
606**	WILKINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	09/20/99	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ALABAMA, MIDDLE													
1	30	63	30	1,883	125	51,000	1,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	89	75	5,334	200	175,500	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA													
1	145	88	484	12,765	2,928	287,064	7,628	24	-	-	-	-	-
2	58	41	525	2,350	1,336	78,794	353	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	83	24	84	2,022	318	56,024	347	RELATED TO NO. 7					
4	30	2	27	63	19	RELATED TO NO. 1							
5	30	20	74	593	63	25,060	325	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	118	16	NR	1,938	NR	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 8					
7	8	5	10	37	11	7,205	119	20	-	-	-	-	-
8	60	51	152	3,055	476	84,928	3,522	15	-	-	-	-	-
602**	90	75	42	6,766	1,237	135,000	-	14	-	-	-	-	-
603**	150	117	273	17,549	2,176	200,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
604**	90	26	83	2,351	1,037	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
605**	42	12	108	514	145	131,707	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS, EASTERN													
1	28	61	98	1,721	184	40,973	300	7	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	35	91	2,091	280	67,067	450	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS, WESTERN													
1	1	15	7	15	10	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN													
1	29	13	244	375	53	37,590	729	5	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	40	4,988	2,375	1,780	1,082,990	243,582	15	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	100	124	6,004	599	110,191	3,500	13	-	-	-	-	2
4	30	1	6	30	9	37,203	-	17	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	25	3,203	1,525	1,215	RELATED TO NO. 2							
6	20	59	2,459	1,171	617	RELATED TO NO. 2							
7	30	54	3,382	1,611	824	RELATED TO NO. 2							
567*	60	17	35	991	438	339,236	122,000	11	-	-	1	-	10
606**	30	78	1,663	2,341	305	6,896	225	7	-	-	-	-	3

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN									
1	WANGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/03/00	30	1	60
2	LEVI	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/13/00	30	-	30
3	LEVI	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/00	30	-	30
4	LEVI	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/05/00	30	-	30
5	WANGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/30/00	30	1	60
6	WANGER	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	EF	A	09/20/00	30	1	60
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL									
1	WILSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,B,D	11/10/99	30	10	330
2	CARTER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/99	30	2	90
3	COOPER	WARREN	SMUGGLING	WC	D	12/26/99	30	4	150
4	MORENO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/29/99	30	-	30
5	MORROW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	01/26/00	30	2	90
6	WILSON	SEARIGHT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/00	30	-	30
7	WILSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	02/03/00	30	-	30
8	CARTER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/00	30	-	30
9	STOTLER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	02/18/00	30	5	180
10	MORENO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/25/00	30	1	60
11	WILSON	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/07/00	30	-	30
12	MANELLA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/21/00	30	1	60
13	MORROW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/24/00	30	-	30
14	FEES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/00	30	-	30
15	PHILLIPS	WARREN	SMUGGLING	WS,EE,EF	H,D	05/04/00	30	2	90
16	COOPER	GERSHEL	THEFT	WS	H	05/05/00	30	1	60
17	PHILLIPS	KEENEY	COUNTERFEITING	OM	B	05/19/00	30	-	30
18	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/00	30	-	30
19	HATTER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/00	30	2	90
20	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/25/00	30	2	90
21	MANELLA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/02/00	30	3	120
22	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/00	30	2	90
23	STOTLER	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/21/00	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN													
1	60	137	285	8,233	844	116,872	10,437	33	-	-	-	-	-
2	25	53	36	1,313	54	115,623	32,280	21	-	-	-	-	-
3	13	17	36	216	34	RELATED TO NO. 2							
4	7	14	36	100	51	RELATED TO NO. 2							
5	59	82	1,345	4,862	273	718,120	-	14	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	3	314	157	157	5,460	3,828	2	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL													
1	308	239	65,864	73,763	6,418	316,045	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	27	33	2,467	1,403	4,332	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	143	7	120	1,011	339	109,714	6,500	5	-	-	-	-	-
4	21	26	26	540	16	39,503	1,033	2	-	-	-	-	2
5	87	63	64	5,482	468	272,487	7,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	33	20	1,000	800	19,975	600	RELATED TO NO. 7					
7	30	38	50	1,150	900	7,375	2,000	16	-	-	-	-	-
8	27	5	37	147	51	4,320	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	173	146	183	25,236	1,877	271,535	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	60	24	40	1,464	178	25,790	1,078	2	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	95	1,718	2,850	233	RELATED TO NO. 1							
12	63	35	289	2,224	1,499	32,679	4,250	RELATED TO NO. 21					
13	27	59	29	1,590	41	84,008	2,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
14	29	53	53	1,542	187	37,172	9,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	90	65	271	5,830	2,730	237,599	8,906	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	60	19	25	1,129	451	79,807	2,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	30	-	1	3	1	56,500	1,500	1	-	-	-	-	-
18	12	20	20	240	99	1,863	400	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	85	38	38	3,224	291	98,249	13,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	83	33	40	2,754	162	37,757	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	85	23	504	1,936	1,396	44,072	7,500	15	-	-	1	-	15
22	70	56	47	3,930	1,894	70,709	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	9	1	6	7	-	RELATED TO NO. 9							

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)									
24	STOTLER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/30/00	30	1	60
25	MANELLA	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/00	30	2	90
26	MANELLA	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	08/17/00	30	-	30
27	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/00	30	3	120
28	COOPER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/00	30	-	30
29	REAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	EF	D	09/29/00	30	-	30
30	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/06/00	30	-	30
607**	KELLER	MCLAUGHLIN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/25/97	30	-	30
608**	PREGERSON	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS	H	08/11/98	30	6	210
609**	MORENO	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	10/23/98	30	4	150
610**	STOTLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/23/98	30	2	90
611**	STOTLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	12/07/98	30	5	180
612**	STOTLER	RICHARD	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/18/98	30	-	30
613**	MORENO	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	01/12/99	30	2	90
614**	PREGERSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/13/99	30	-	30
615**	PAEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/99	30	1	60
616**	REAL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	01/29/99	30	1	60
617**	LETTS	HOROWITZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/99	30	1	60
618**	MARSHALL	WARREN	MURDER	WS,WC	H,D	04/12/99	30	-	30
619**	MORENO	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/26/99	30	6	210
620**	KING	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/09/99	30	2	90
621**	MORENO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/16/99	30	-	30
622**	PAEZ	KEENEY	BRIBERY	EE	B	10/29/99	30	1	60
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN									
1	HUFF	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	OM	H,B	01/04/00	30	1	60
2	HUFF	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/04/00	30	1	60
3	HUFF	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WS	H	02/16/00	30	-	30
4	HUFF	KEENEY	THEFT	WC	D	06/05/00	30	1	60
623**	GONZALEZ	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/26/99	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)													
24	28	36	37	1,005	249	RELATED TO NO. 9							
25	84	13	224	1,088	702	41,572	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 21					
26	30	81	448	2,443	1,761	20,786	2,500	15	-	-	1	-	-
27	112	82	212	9,213	518	152,200	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	16	3	3	55	12	3,330	550	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	8	16	11	127	63	2,370	1,200	4	-	-	-	4	-
607**	30	-	-	-	-	32,700	2,460	-	-	-	-	-	-
608**	173	22	42	3,738	534	97,877	1,500	14	-	-	-	-	-
609**	142	27	389	3,869	724	41,765	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 613**					
610**	90	11	30	956	163	78,633	5,500	RELATED TO NO. 611**					
611**	178	63	273	11,148	796	381,250	19,200	21	-	-	-	-	13
612**	24	2	11	45	1	16,417	4,600	RELATED TO NO. 611**					
613**	64	16	37	1,029	311	33,005	21,000	15	-	-	-	-	4
614**	21	16	67	328	100	13,685	1,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
615**	51	24	35	1,200	500	110,000	-	14	-	-	-	-	-
616**	54	40	117	2,142	525	139,709	9,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
617**	57	43	8	2,467	447	94,624	2,000	9	-	-	-	-	-
618**	30	25	45	747	150	33,070	4,500	3	-	-	-	-	1
619**	197	28	234	5,576	1,169	145,805	72,000	23	-	-	-	-	2
620**	88	77	2,435	6,760	474	25,532	4,790	27	-	-	-	-	27
621**	9	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 619**							
622**	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN													
1	55	20	68	1,087	267	RELATED TO NO. 3		2	-	-	-	-	2
2	45	12	114	562	93	124,487	1,068	8	-	-	-	-	3
3	30	4	12	133	43	249,959	142	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	46	14	37	653	80	83,933	7,562	-	-	-	-	-	-
623**	30	80	241	2,406	282	33,584	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
624**	HUFF	BASHANT	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/31/99	30	1	60
625**	HUFF	BASHANT	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/30/99	30	1	60
626**	GONZALES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/99	30	1	60
627**	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	R	05/17/99	30	-	30
628**	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	07/14/99	30	1	60
629**	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/99	30	1	60
630**	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/99	30	1	60
COLORADO									
1	WEINSHIENK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/08/99	30	1	60
2	WEINSHIENK	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/00	30	-	30
3	MILLER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/00	30	-	30
4	MILLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/00	30	-	30
5	KANE	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	B,D	02/10/00	30	-	30
6	KANE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	03/14/00	30	3	120
7	KANE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/00	30	-	30
8	KANE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	05/22/00	30	-	30
9	WEINSHIENK	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/25/00	30	-	30
10	KANE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/00	30	-	30
631**	WEINSHIENK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/04/99	30	1	60
CONNECTICUT									
1	UNDERHILL	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/27/99	30	3	120
2	DORSEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/00	30	1	60
3	DORSEY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/00	30	1	60
4	DORSEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	04/24/00	30	-	30
5	UNDERHILL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/00	30	-	30
6	NEVAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM,ED	B,O	11/01/00	30	-	30
7	DORSEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	11/16/00	30	-	30
8	BURNS	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/13/00	30	-	30
568*	BURNS	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,ED	H,D	08/31/98	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
624**	60	8	88	469	NR	5,976	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
625**	58	2	58	139	NR	5,971	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
626**	39	31	68	1,195	507	24,055	3,053	9	-	-	-	-	-
627**	30	20	63	599	118	42,805	4,027	-	-	-	-	-	-
628**	58	25	55	1,447	558	RELATED TO NO. 629**							
629**	56	30	99	1,677	619	120,676	5,936	10	-	-	-	-	10
630**	40	21	54	845	301	RELATED TO NO. 629**							
COLORADO													
1	60	15	30	911	102	28,393	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	28	19	50	534	143	49,968	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
3	14	13	40	183	33	16,656	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
4	4	53	20	213	42	19,987	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
5	29	90	1,148	2,623	1,112	8,634	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	102	80	1,000	8,200	750	353,680	66,880	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	23	17	34	385	77	11,463	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	44	150	1,333	150	36,795	7,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	24	24	720	30	23,784	7,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	44	103	1,323	58	41,530	250	1	-	-	-	1	-
631**	57	5	22	288	110	35,879	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT													
1	118	65	65	7,654	2,619	45,584	1,651	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	23	25	1,409	138	187,897	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	34	48	2,039	722	158,988	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	16	20	488	138	149,607	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	21	66	39	1,393	233	82,900	1,000	12	-	-	-	-	-
6	25	5	8	137	6	50,104	45	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	8	1	239	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	10	27	86	272	58	33,321	2,779	-	-	-	-	-	-
568*	30	23	17	689	147	62,963	875	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CONNECTICUT (CONTINUED)									
632**	CHATIGNY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/99	30	1	60
633**	THOMPSON	RICHARD	CONSPIRACY	WC,ED	D	07/23/99	30	2	90
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA									
1	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/06/99	30	2	90
2	JACKSON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	H,D	02/08/00	30	2	90
3	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/00	30	-	30
4	JACKSON	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	02/29/00	30	2	90
5	LAMBERTH	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	OM	B	03/21/00	30	-	30
6	JACKSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/00	30	2	90
7	JACKSON	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/00	30	1	60
8	URBINA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/00	30	1	60
9	FRIEDMAN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/00	30	1	60
10	URBINA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/00	30	1	60
11	FRIEDMAN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/25/00	30	-	30
12	SULLIVAN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/31/00	30	-	30
13	FRIEDMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/00	30	1	60
14	SULLIVAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/05/00	30	-	30
15	SULLIVAN	CRABB	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/30/00	30	-	30
FLORIDA, NORTHERN									
1	VINSON	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/20/00	30	1	45
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN									
1	HIGHSMITH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/99	30	3	120
2	FERGUSON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/12/99	30	2	90
3	DIMITROULEAS	DIGREGORY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/07/00	30	1	60
4	LENARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/12/00	30	1	60
5	LENARD	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/00	30	-	30
6	HIGHSMITH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/00	30	1	60
7	MIDDLEBROOKS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	OM	B	02/18/00	30	1	60
8	SEITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/00	30	-	30
9	GOLD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/00	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CONNECTICUT (CONTINUED)													
632**	55	19	261	1,035	240	12,013	7,300	5	-	-	-	-	-
633**	90	98	150	8,803	3,398	282,652	7,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA													
1	64	41	69	2,625	35	37,187	13,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	86	10	45	822	311	72,980	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	29	40	863	19	2,152	1,750	14	-	-	-	-	5
4	86	27	50	2,344	273	102,979	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	14	109	9	1,521	55	4,756	461	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	86	11	35	964	600	58,372	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	57	15	25	840	332	31,787	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	59	30	132	1,789	89	53,658	741	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	60	83	133	4,977	1,010	28,925	1,590	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	56	22	43	1,233	46	60,214	9,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	3	14	83	16	10,007	790	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	8	69	40	554	49	11,504	1,195	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	52	50	93	2,616	823	18,319	1,700	1	-	-	-	-	-
14	29	59	81	1,722	142	47,190	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	20	1	29	29	3	1,449	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, NORTHERN													
1	43	26	1,252	1,105	191	227,013	1,100	8	-	-	-	-	8
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN													
1	120	37	43	4,481	1,471	RELATED TO NO. 11							
2	90	87	141	7,843	1,254	95,100	601	1	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	105	247	6,286	1,249	102,582	5,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	46	101	85	4,661	2,500	53,147	1,355	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	17	15	500	130	12,773	5,000	7	1-	-	-	6	-
6	60	60	121	3,610	1,268	RELATED TO NO. 11							
7	60	24	45	1,418	NR	275,040	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	13	23	1	293	84	15,724	1,100	1	-	-	-	1	-
9	15	-	-	-	-	883	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
10	HIGHSMITH	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/00	30	-	30
11	HIGHSMITH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/00	30	-	30
12	FERGUSON	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WS	R	11/21/00	30	-	30
634**	MIDDLEBROOKS	ROBINSON	NARCOTICS	WC	R	11/09/98	30	3	103
635**	GRAHAM	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/99	30	1	60
636**	GRAHAM	HOROWITZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/99	30	-	30
GEORGIA, NORTHERN									
1	HUNT	RENO	MURDER	WS	R	03/20/00	30	-	30
2	STORY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/00	30	-	30
3	STORY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/00	30	-	30
4	FORRESTER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/19/00	30	1	60
5	COOPER	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/00	30	-	30
6	COOPER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/00	30	-	30
HAWAII									
1	GILLMOR	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	02/08/00	30	2	90
2	GILLMOR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/29/00	30	-	30
3	EZRA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/03/00	30	1	60
4	KING	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/28/00	30	-	30
5	GILLMOR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/00	30	-	30
6	EZRA	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	04/11/00	30	-	30
7	GILLMOR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/05/00	30	1	60
8	KING	NAKAKUNI	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/14/00	30	-	30
9	EZRA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/00	30	1	60
10	MOLLWAY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/21/00	30	-	30
637**	MOLLWAY	NAKAKUNI	\$LAUNDERING	ED	D	09/20/99	30	1	60
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN									
1	REINHARD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,ED	H,D	11/03/99	30	1	60
2	ZAGEL	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	11/19/99	30	2	90
3	ASPEN	BEAUMONT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/22/99	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					Persons Convicted
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			
										G	D	P	
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
10	28	9	4	264	120	RELATED TO NO. 11							
11	30	11	10	331	172	378,096	13,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	22	19	54	421	106	38,308	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
634**	103	39	131	3,971	380	113,787	2,300	5	-	-	-	-	5
635**	54	18	138	964	382	19,020	3,500	RELATED TO NO. 5					
636**	21	6	12	120	81	8,291	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 5					
GEORGIA, NORTHERN													
1	1	19	6	19	5	1,408	275	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	20	53	588	99	172,911	35,635	7	-	-	-	-	-
3	25	90	64	2,249	573	RELATED TO NO. 2							
4	60	178	850	10,670	1,289	45,339	6,445	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	1	-	-	-	-	16,575	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	1	-	-	-	-	8,788	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII													
1	86	122	77	10,477	1,224	456,016	171,776	50	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	25	18	762	107	RELATED TO NO. 1							
3	60	20	86	1,227	614	RELATED TO NO. 4							
4	30	11	34	338	82	54,718	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	12	64	30	765	228	RELATED TO NO. 1							
6	30	10	37	312	140	47,060	800	31	-	-	-	-	6
7	30	16	27	481	310	54,268	750	8	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	7	1	196	145	18,028	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	32	16	38	516	172	31,007	1,470	7	-	-	-	-	-
10	29	37	40	1,070	53	26,845	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
637**	58	4	78	213	NR	1,440	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN													
1	42	37	21	1,539	377	20,870	3,000	9	-	-	-	-	9
2	89	20	54	1,819	269	16,928	7,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	-	-	-	-	3,945	600	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
4	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/07/00	30	1	60
5	ANDERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/21/00	30	1	60
6	ASPEN	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	EE	B	01/24/00	30	-	30
7	ASPEN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/27/00	30	-	30
8	ASPEN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/10/00	30	-	30
9	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/00	30	-	30
10	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/00	30	-	30
11	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/00	30	-	30
12	HOLDERMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/00	30	-	30
13	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/00	30	-	30
14	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/00	30	1	60
15	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/00	30	-	30
16	CONLON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/00	30	-	30
17	ASPEN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/00	30	-	30
18	ASPEN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/00	30	-	30
19	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/13/00	30	1	60
20	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/00	30	1	60
21	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/00	30	-	30
22	ASPEN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/00	30	-	30
23	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/00	30	-	30
24	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/00	30	-	30
25	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/01/00	30	-	30
26	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/12/00	30	-	30
27	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/20/00	30	-	30
28	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/00	30	-	30
638**	ASPEN	MAY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/99	30	-	30
639**	ASPEN	PROSPERI	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/99	30	3	120
640**	ASPEN	HOROWITZ	RACKETEERING	WS	H	08/18/99	30	2	90
641**	ASPEN	BEAUMONT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/99	30	2	90
642**	ASPEN	BEAUMONT	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/18/99	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
4	60	51	377	3,068	565	65,685	34,400	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	29	30	1,757	200	31,370	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	29	30	18	863	429	41,199	526	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	4	14	16	57	4	4,694	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	21	151	633	13	22,084	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	20	79	25	1,572	350	12,948	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	19	134	80	2,552	485	6,977	800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	20	13	57	266	11	17,580	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	19	12	15	226	75	61,000	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	14	29	30	402	140	11,054	600	RELATED TO NO. 12						
14	60	38	53	2,258	467	73,777	500	11	-	-	-	1	-	-
15	20	56	74	1,129	614	5,389	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	15	37	15	561	16	10,314	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	30	254	80	7,630	902	23,286	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	30	101	96	3,039	915	6,670	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	60	75	50	4,491	900	45,012	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	60	36	109	2,139	532	46,474	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	26	38	30	978	350	19,238	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	30	15	25	451	31	37,315	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	30	9	10	260	2	24,590	4,950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	1	-	-	-	-	4,583	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	6	29	20	176	75	5,801	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	30	23	68	692	30	20,000	1,000	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	25	-	-	-	-	2,487	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	29	14	56	411	20	19,770	1,000	RELATED TO NO. 26						
638**	22	49	30	1,084	300	12,514	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
639**	89	78	160	6,919	2,100	78,511	3,000	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
640**	89	20	119	1,812	221	110,431	550	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
641**	90	68	90	6,084	2,100	45,013	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
642**	17	48	47	816	350	12,669	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL									
1	SCOTT	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/08/00	30	1	60
INDIANA, NORTHERN									
1	MOODY	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WS	H	05/09/00	30	-	30
INDIANA, SOUTHERN									
1	YOUNG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,ED	H,D	04/03/00	30	-	30
2	YOUNG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/00	30	-	30
3	TINDER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/22/00	30	-	30
IOWA, NORTHERN									
1	MELLOY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/00	30	-	30
2	MELLOY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/00	30	-	30
KANSAS									
1	VAN BEBBER	RENO	HOSTAGE TAKING	WS	B	01/01/00	30	-	30
2	ROGERS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	B	02/15/00	30	-	30
LOUISIANA, EASTERN									
1	DUVAL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/00	30	-	30
2	FALLON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/00	30	1	60
3	FELDMAN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/00	30	-	30
4	FALLON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/00	30	-	30
5	FELDMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/00	30	1	60
6	LEMELLE	MORELLO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/00	30	1	60
7	FELDMAN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/00	30	-	30
8	MCNAMARA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/00	30	1	60
9	FELDMAN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/00	30	-	30
10	FALLON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/00	30	-	30
11	LEMELLE	MORELLO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/00	30	-	30
LOUISIANA, MIDDLE									
1	POLOZOLA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/00	30	2	90
2	POLOZOLA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/00	30	1	60
3	POLOZOLA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/00	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL													
1	60	24	41	1,429	290	173,653	69,674	5	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, NORTHERN													
1	30	81	236	2,420	95	9,086	1,234	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, SOUTHERN													
1	19	30	85	576	378	239,680	-	40	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	24	48	707	526	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	21	550	619	14	82,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA, NORTHERN													
1	30	21	77	615	326	54,396	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	-	6	6	2	37,413	3,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS													
1	1	11	7	11	1	2,928	20	1	-	-	-	-	1
2	30	18	45	543	16	40,834	14,468	RELATED TO NO. 1					
LOUISIANA, EASTERN													
1	15	-	-	-	-	38,077	650	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	57	64	65	3,642	1,029	65,275	119	34	-	-	-	-	33
3	4	58	30	230	58	6,882	1,480	RELATED TO NO. 9					
4	29	128	223	3,725	274	46,564	700	34	-	-	-	-	30
5	59	79	115	4,679	731	96,014	1,552	RELATED TO NO. 9					
6	30	118	79	3,540	302	65,609	3,950	1	-	-	-	-	-
7	21	140	56	2,937	572	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 9					
8	56	41	7	2,280	456	146,793	2,625	8	-	-	-	-	-
9	19	47	65	901	103	24,426	1,425	12	-	-	-	-	-
10	3	84	9	252	12	5,295	1,058	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	13	113	69	1,466	234	18,565	1,240	2	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, MIDDLE													
1	90	63	93	5,707	1,648	RELATED TO NO. 2							
2	38	96	102	3,640	437	170,197	1,838	16	-	-	-	-	-
3	25	32	515	795	100	28,743	1,788	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
LOUISIANA, WESTERN									
1	TRIMBLE	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/00	30	-	30
2	JAMES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/00	30	-	30
MARYLAND									
1	DAVIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/07/00	30	-	30
2	DAVIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/05/00	30	-	30
3	WILLIAMS	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/00	30	2	90
4	WILLIAMS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/19/00	30	1	60
5	WILLIAMS	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	08/17/00	30	-	30
643**	GARBIS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	11/24/99	30	-	30
644**	GARBIS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/24/99	30	-	30
MASSACHUSETTS									
1	YOUNG	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,ED	H,D	11/06/99	30	1	60
2	HARRINGTON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/00	30	1	60
3	HARRINGTON	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/00	30	-	30
4	PONSER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/00	30	-	30
5	FREEDMAN	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	OM	O	03/30/00	30	1	60
6	HARRINGTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/00	30	-	30
7	HARRINGTON	SAWYER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/00	30	-	30
8	HARRINGTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/00	30	-	30
9	FREEDMAN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/16/00	30	-	30
10	O'TOOLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/27/00	30	1	60
11	SARRIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/30/00	30	1	60
12	YOUNG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/00	30	1	60
13	YOUNG	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/00	30	1	60
14	SARRIS	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/00	30	-	30
15	YOUNG	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/00	30	-	30
16	ZOBEL	KRIGSMAN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/00	30	-	30
645**	YOUNG	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/21/99	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LOUISIANA, WESTERN													
1	30	21	174	631	112	67,460	5,450	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	20	61	37	1,214	227	63,514	3,139	17	-	-	-	-	9
MARYLAND													
1	30	17	59	510	27	51,395	2,520	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	33	61	995	10	37,855	1,925	1	-	-	-	-	-
3	64	126	52	8,056	439	45,000	-	13	-	-	-	-	-
4	36	34	21	1,236	178	45,000	-	RELATED TO NO. 3					
5	29	38	80	1,095	220	32,554	1,043	-	-	-	-	-	-
643**	15	53	8	798	36	118,551	9,199	-	-	-	-	-	-
644**	13	-	5	2	-	71,364	3,699	3	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS													
1	59	29	23	1,702	638	87,152	-	17	-	-	-	-	3
2	60	66	20	3,953	559	77,632	150	11	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	12	25	350	122	108,780	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	24	54	50	1,300	1,275	10,504	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	49	11	36	521	20	106,579	2,475	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	7	33	205	128	RELATED TO NO. 3							
7	20	15	15	300	97	RELATED TO NO. 3							
8	30	38	15	1,144	142	30,750	750	11	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	55	25	1,647	563	29,010	11,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
10	60	71	33	4,275	957	137,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	50	57	85	2,841	514	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	58	17	55	1,001	207	88,877	14,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
13	40	150	100	6,000	5,900	100,720	4,000	34	-	-	-	-	-
14	21	-	-	-	-	75,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	18	37	33	664	104	37,664	20,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
16	13	231	75	3,000	2,800	49,240	4,000	RELATED TO NO. 13					
645**	14	112	15	1,573	481	21,252	-	11	-	-	-	1	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MICHIGAN, EASTERN									
1	HOOD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/18/99	30	1	60
2	O'MEARA	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/28/00	30	4	150
3	HOOD	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/02/00	30	-	30
4	TARNOW	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	O	04/24/00	30	-	30
5	DUGGAN	GERSHEL	FRAUD	EE	B	05/22/00	30	1	60
6	DUGGAN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/00	30	-	30
7	TARNOW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/00	30	1	60
8	DUGGAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/19/00	30	-	30
MINNESOTA									
1	MAGNUSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/30/99	30	1	60
2	MAGNUSON	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/00	30	-	30
3	MONTGOMERY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/02/00	30	-	30
4	MAGNUSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/25/00	30	1	60
5	MAGNUSON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/00	30	1	60
6	ROSENBAUM	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/25/00	30	2	90
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN									
1	LEE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/05/00	30	-	30
MISSOURI, EASTERN									
1	WEBBER	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/20/00	30	1	60
2	PERRY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/00	30	-	30
3	WEBBER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/02/00	30	1	60
4	STOHR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/13/00	30	1	60
5	WEBBER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/00	30	-	30
MISSOURI, WESTERN									
1	WHIPPLE	KEENEY	KIDNAPPING	OM	O	08/30/00	30	-	30
2	SMITH	ROBINSON	GAMBLING	WS	R	11/22/00	30	-	30
646**	GAITAN	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	OM	H	12/03/99	30	-	30
NEBRASKA									
1	KOPF	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/18/00	30	-	30
2	KOPF	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/11/00	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MICHIGAN, EASTERN													
1	58	24	105	1,369	354	50,758	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2	141	24	97	3,452	904	124,750	2,050	-	-	-	-	-	
3	30	37	47	1,100	180	151,566	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
4	1	1	3	1	1	2,337	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
5	60	14	13	840	72	6,604	1,002	-	-	-	-	-	
6	16	50	29	802	108	11,232	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	
7	60	140	200	8,415	1,037	70,570	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	
8	22	31	48	687	93	15,131	1,016	-	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA													
1	59	84	211	4,946	371	133,823	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	I	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 1							
4	55	33	34	1,804	102	110,620	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
5	40	67	69	2,693	344	45,622	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
6	89	29	154	2,570	431	109,632	9,500	12	-	-	-	-	
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN													
1	28	109	150	3,065	600	23,503	5,000	5	-	-	-	-	
MISSOURI, EASTERN													
1	60	173	187	10,370	876	43,259	360	1	-	-	-	-	
2	28	5	83	126	57	11,760	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	39	61	262	2,391	185	45,835	60	-	-	-	-	-	
4	47	15	63	682	139	19,740	-	6	-	-	-	-	
5	21	22	50	459	147	8,820	-	6	-	-	-	-	
MISSOURI, WESTERN													
1	1	98	6	98	98	2,176	10	2	-	-	-	-	
2	27	36	66	981	711	39,384	8,390	-	-	-	-	-	
646**	-	-	-	-	-	1,914	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA													
1	29	37	34	1,060	60	21,975	200	6	-	-	-	-	
2	19	38	22	719	47	22,747	200	6	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEVADA									
1	RAWLINSON	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WS,OM	H	12/13/99	30	1	60
2	PRO	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/10/00	30	-	30
3	RAWLINSON	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	02/15/00	30	-	30
4	PRO	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	03/09/00	30	-	30
5	PRO	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	03/28/00	30	-	30
6	GEORGE	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	03/31/00	30	-	30
7	MCKIBBEN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/10/00	30	1	60
8	RAWLINSON	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	05/11/00	30	3	120
9	PRO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/00	30	1	60
10	GEORGE	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	06/05/00	30	2	90
11	PRO	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/00	30	-	30
12	HUNT	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/00	30	2	90
13	MCKIBBEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/10/00	30	-	30
647**	MCKIBBEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/99	30	1	60
NEW JERSEY									
1	LIFLAND	WARLOW	RACKETEERING	OM	O	11/30/99	30	3	120
2	BISSELL	WARREN	FRAUD	WS	B	12/21/99	30	2	90
3	BISSELL	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/23/99	30	1	60
4	WOLIN	DIGREGORY	RACKETEERING	WS	H	01/06/00	30	-	30
5	WOLIN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/07/00	30	-	30
6	WOLIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/00	30	-	30
7	WOLIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	A	01/22/00	30	1	60
8	WOLIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/00	30	1	60
9	WOLIN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/00	30	-	30
10	WOLIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	02/10/00	30	-	30
11	LECHNER	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	02/14/00	30	9	300
12	ORLOFSKY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/00	30	2	90
13	WOLIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/00	30	-	30
14	WOLIN	ROBINSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/00	30	2	90

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEVADA													
1	59	17	67	1,027	56	21,761	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
2	15	7	20	112	24	5,696	2,000	1	-	-	-	1	
3	30	26	45	768	78	11,852	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
4	30	163	124	4,899	4,650	29,186	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
5	30	98	86	2,926	2,800	30,186	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
6	21	17	81	347	45	20,095	1,500	8	-	-	-	-	
7	38	11	55	406	73	44,613	7,600	-	-	-	-	-	
8	120	63	507	7,597	500	93,689	4,000	8	-	-	-	-	
9	38	16	38	622	179	15,985	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
10	90	25	98	2,225	200	70,418	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 8					
11	19	14	15	275	21	7,924	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
12	90	60	112	5,392	1,193	21,558	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	
13	30	68	153	2,053	168	18,152	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
647**	60	16	43	950	152	48,299	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY													
1	105	50	100	5,223	1,072	101,758	5,600	2	-	-	-	2	
2	90	193	1,606	17,380	2,302	76,028	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	
3	60	8	47	466	95	57,116	10,300	-	-	-	-	-	
4	30	44	19	1,315	NR	23,015	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	
5	28	2	3	54	18	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	
6	28	23	7	630	209	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	
7	60	33	3	1,975	5	377,763	349,763	6	-	-	-	-	
8	28	2	3	44	12	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	
9	2	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	
10	30	7	4	212	41	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	
11	297	37	344	10,957	1,845	130,585	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	
12	71	115	513	8,182	1,259	326,336	6,300	RELATED TO NO. 20					
13	28	4	3	100	41	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	
14	80	44	61	3,490	501	RELATED TO NO. 7		-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)									
15	ORLOFSKY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/00	30	1	60
16	LIFLAND	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS	H	03/29/00	30	2	90
17	LIFLAND	WARREN	BRIBERY	WC	D	03/30/00	30	1	60
18	WOLIN	ROBINSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/00	30	-	30
19	WOLIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/00	30	-	30
20	ORLOFSKY	HUGHES	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/00	30	-	30
21	PISANO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/00	30	-	30
22	IRENAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/09/00	30	-	30
23	POLITAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/20/00	30	-	30
24	POLITAN	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	09/27/00	30	1	60
25	BISSELL	VIKER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/24/00	30	1	60
NEW MEXICO									
1	PARKER	WARREN	MURDER	WS	H	01/15/00	30	-	30
2	HANSEN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/00	30	1	60
3	BLACK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/10/00	30	1	60
4	HANSEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/00	30	-	30
5	HANSEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/11/00	30	-	30
NEW YORK, NORTHERN									
1	MCAVOY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/21/00	30	-	30
2	MCAVOY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/24/00	30	-	30
3	MCAVOY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/00	30	1	60
4	MUNSON	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/00	30	1	60
5	MCAVOY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/00	30	-	30
6	MCAVOY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/00	30	-	30
7	MCAVOY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/00	30	-	30
8	MCAVOY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/06/00	30	-	30
648**	MUNSON	SOUTHWICH	MURDER	OM	O	10/08/99	30	-	30
NEW YORK, EASTERN									
1	WEINSTEIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/01/99	30	2	90
2	DEARIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/07/99	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)													
15	53	69	311	3,645	904	247,636	9,300	RELATED TO NO. 20					
16	87	64	175	5,603	805	125,347	4,105	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	57	5	34	300	69	6,584	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	24	8	8	192	34	RELATED TO NO. 7							
19	13	4	6	57	14	RELATED TO NO. 7							
20	26	61	236	1,597	300	123,348	7,600	46	-	-	-	-	3
21	22	3	3	65	-	RELATED TO NO. 20							
22	6	13	8	75	15	RELATED TO NO. 20							
23	30	20	52	590	14	41,417	5,753	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	56	20	85	1,109	185	32,769	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	59	-	NR	NR	NR	3,156	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO													
1	15	28	63	425	-	9,222	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	55	86	46	4,708	712	50,030	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	58	98	173	5,655	737	66,365	22,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	14	21	30	297	63	9,180	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, NORTHERN													
1	29	110	20	3,191	247	8,637	1,940	5	-	-	-	-	-
2	29	306	17	8,885	635	8,605	6,346	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	55	16	31	875	550	7,442	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 5					
4	43	175	51	7,520	1,604	56,848	8,090	46	-	-	-	-	21
5	29	30	24	864	400	4,487	1,500	2	-	-	-	-	-
6	20	73	75	1,456	165	30,000	3,500	6	-	-	-	-	6
7	29	16	28	465	2	33,391	3,029	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	25	21	9	517	35	14,999	1,709	-	-	-	-	-	-
648**	-	-	-	-	-	864	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, EASTERN													
1	67	23	35	1,521	236	42,994	495	13	-	-	-	2	9
2	50	12	36	598	204	43,143	495	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
3	SIFTON	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	12/13/99	30	-	30
4	PLATT	GERSHEL	RACKETEERING	OM	O	01/20/00	30	-	30
5	SPATT	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/08/00	30	-	30
6	ROSS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/00	30	-	30
7	ROSS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/00	30	-	30
8	NICKERSON	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	02/24/00	30	-	30
9	SPATT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/01/00	30	1	60
10	SPATT	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	H,B	03/11/00	30	-	30
11	NICKERSON	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	03/13/00	30	2	90
12	JOHNSON	GERSHEL	RACKETEERING	OM	B	03/17/00	30	-	30
13	ROSS	TIRSCHWELL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/00	30	1	60
14	GERSHON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/10/00	30	-	30
15	BLOCK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/12/00	30	3	90
16	NICKERSON	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/18/00	30	1	60
17	ROSS	TIRSCHWELL	NARCOTICS	WC	R	05/22/00	30	1	60
18	GLEESON	WEISSBART	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/00	30	-	30
19	ROSS	KEENEY	FRAUD	WC	D	06/01/00	30	4	150
20	WEXLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/00	30	1	60
21	TRAGER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	B	08/18/00	30	1	60
649**	DEARIE	RICHARD	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/11/98	30	1	60
650**	GLEESON	REYNOLDS	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	01/13/99	30	6	210
651**	PLATT	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/29/99	30	6	210
652**	TRAGER	WARREN	RACKETEERING	OM,EF	B	02/01/99	30	4	150
653**	RAGGI	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,EF	H	03/01/99	30	-	30
654**	KORMAN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	OM	B	05/13/99	30	1	60
655**	GERSHON	WARREN	GAMBLING	OM	B	05/25/99	30	1	60
656**	GLEESON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/99	30	1	60
657**	KORMAN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WS	B	06/11/99	30	-	30
658**	AMON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	07/13/99	30	2	90

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
3	28	14	12	382	84	27,340	250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	32	41	963	305	35,450	450	RELATED TO NO. 9						
6	30	-	1	1	-	RELATED TO NO. 7								
7	25	54	25	1,357	210	10,144	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	9
8	7	25	15	178	23	8,240	1,000	RELATED TO NO. 11						
9	57	22	64	1,239	752	90,500	200	22	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	76	74	73	5,614	438	97,605	2,500	8	-	-	-	-	-	1
12	26	72	153	1,872	69	53,026	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	33	19	37	636	151	72,396	2,700	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	4	-	-	-	-	3,501	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	87	23	166	1,997	1,365	150,262	149,052	20	-	-	-	-	-	11
16	42	81	65	3,391	648	29,940	4,625	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	60	10	34	628	170	75,518	2,750	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	27	52	23	1,393	393	95,348	500	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	134	149	245	20,022	9,321	93,888	2,500	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	60	35	23	2,086	1,445	100,486	45,500	23	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	17	105	9	1,785	6	42,438	17,715	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
649**	59	19	8	1,118	90	23,842	1,775	2	-	-	-	-	-	1
650**	196	4	17	879	431	33,771	719	15	-	-	-	-	-	15
651**	206	40	160	8,292	949	142,877	1,466	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
652**	122	137	77	16,725	1,047	526,039	6,688	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
653**	29	17	36	496	57	39,296	4,183	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
654**	51	48	14	2,439	61	86,231	-	RELATED TO NO. 652**						
655**	59	82	7	4,818	434	43,668	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
656**	55	1	8	52	48	37,198	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
657**	30	46	33	1,382	59	68,504	3,294	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
658**	90	76	40	6,816	614	78,809	-	7	1	1	1	1	4	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
659**	SEYBERT	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	O	10/20/99	30	2	90
660**	NICKERSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/22/99	30	1	60
661**	NICKERSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/99	30	-	30
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN									
1	MCKENNA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/18/99	30	2	90
2	MCKENNA	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/22/99	30	3	120
3	COTE	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	11/03/99	30	2	90
4	SCHEINDLIN	HOROWITZ	RACKETEERING	OM	B	11/30/99	30	4	150
5	OWEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/99	30	1	60
6	OWEN	PITOFISKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/16/99	30	1	60
7	OWEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/05/00	30	-	30
8	MUKASEY	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	OM	B	02/04/00	30	2	90
9	SCHEINDLIN	DIGREGORY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	02/14/00	30	1	60
10	BUCHWALD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/00	30	1	60
11	SAND	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/01/00	30	-	30
12	SAND	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	03/01/00	30	2	90
13	SAND	GERSHEL	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	03/02/00	30	3	120
14	KEENAN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/10/00	30	1	60
15	KEENAN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	04/12/00	30	7	240
16	MCKENNA	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/00	30	3	120
17	WOOD	GERSHEL	RACKETEERING	WS	H	06/28/00	30	-	30
18	BAER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/00	30	-	30
19	BAER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D	07/19/00	30	-	30
20	BAER	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	EF	B	07/20/00	30	1	60
21	DANIELS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	09/06/00	30	-	30
22	PAULEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/04/00	30	1	60
23	MCMAHON	BIRGER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/18/00	30	-	30
24	KAPLAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/00	30	-	30
25	POLLACK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	11/22/00	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
659**	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
660**	60	22	39	1,336	166	28,033	2,550	RELATED TO NO. 7					
661**	25	33	35	835	196	9,991	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN													
1	87	77	224	6,706	656	109,249	1,000	20	-	-	-	-	4
2	106	20	148	2,154	126	153,725	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	1
3	84	6	43	526	111	87,643	258	RELATED TO NO. 2					
4	104	8	143	830	750	46,662	2,508	42	-	-	-	-	-
5	58	24	51	1,374	95	47,105	6,471	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	45	6	24	255	196	74,985	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	2	-	-	-	-	1,364	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	68	115	25	7,807	369	114,405	3,620	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	59	57	100	3,340	1,000	27,018	322	2	-	-	-	-	-
10	60	67	106	4,042	1,965	36,926	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	20	52	600	90	35,310	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	64	28	75	1,760	396	32,492	3,167	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	99	8	53	801	398	35,191	200	14	-	-	-	-	-
14	60	68	30	4,062	3,046	47,289	6,494	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	215	25	134	5,414	461	160,233	14,627	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	112	28	168	3,108	507	71,426	8,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
17	21	29	26	614	26	30,976	4,053	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	8	30	15	241	50	RELATED TO NO. 19		3	-	-	-	-	-
19	8	1	1	5	-	12,577	6,300	5	-	-	-	-	-
20	38	6	81	214	128	5,500	900	1	-	-	-	-	-
21	19	15	26	292	61	34,456	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	59	12	15	706	434	86,548	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	30	2	NR	57	NR	1,798	25	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	30	7	6	196	82	44,499	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	30	3	5	101	-	44,568	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
662**	MCKENNA	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,EF	H,B,D	02/01/99	30	1	60
663**	MCKENNA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/99	30	-	30
664**	OWEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/99	30	2	90
665**	BAER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/25/99	30	3	120
666**	KAPLAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	09/15/99	30	1	60
667**	MCKENNA	JUMAN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/20/99	30	1	60
NEW YORK, WESTERN									
1	LARIMER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/99	30	3	120
2	ARCARA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/03/99	30	-	30
3	SKRETNY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/23/99	30	1	60
4	SKRETNY	WARREN	CIVIL RIGHTS	OM	H	01/06/00	30	-	30
5	SKRETNY	WARREN	CIVIL RIGHTS	WC	D	02/08/00	30	-	30
6	SKRETNY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/22/00	30	-	30
7	SIRAGUSA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	03/01/00	30	2	90
8	SIRAGUSA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	09/13/00	30	-	30
9	VAN GRAAFEILAND	KEENEY	FIREARMS	OM	R	11/01/00	30	-	30
10	CURTIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/00	30	-	30
668**	LARIMER	KEENEY	GAMBLING	OM	B	03/09/99	30	3	120
669**	CURTIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/99	30	-	30
670**	CURTIN	HOROWITZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/99	30	-	30
671**	LARIMER	WYDYSH	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/20/99	30	-	30
672**	SKRETNY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,OM	H,D	10/05/99	30	1	60
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE									
1	TILLEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/00	30	1	60
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN									
1	VOORHEES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/00	30	-	30
2	MULLEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/23/00	30	1	60
OHIO, NORTHERN									
1	MATIA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	12/02/99	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
662**	60	6	23	371	128	132,618	4,609	-	-	-	-	-	
663**	30	47	7	1,401	127	19,330	2,500	2	-	-	-	2	
664**	58	16	7	946	289	7,344	1,250	11	-	-	-	10	
665**	102	23	71	2,313	208	129,590	1,140	RELATED TO NO. 2					
666**	34	32	252	1,085	274	51,550	3,200	RELATED TO NO. 667**					
667**	60	4	NR	211	NR	3,443	45	14	-	-	-	4	
NEW YORK, WESTERN													
1	117	27	101	3,213	392	214,814	1,500	4	-	-	-	2	
2	30	27	12	817	98	45,065	20,897	RELATED TO NO. 672**					
3	60	158	75	9,506	2,840	470,081	54,137	35	-	-	-	-	
4	8	-	4	2	2	1,866	176	RELATED TO NO. 5					
5	24	30	12	728	54	15,678	6,700	6	-	-	-	-	
6	30	219	125	6,555	2,250	106,200	15,000	33	-	-	-	-	
7	75	37	50	2,805	764	264,000	-	25	-	-	-	-	
8	1	1	2	1	1	1,510	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	1	2	5	2	2	5,910	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
10	29	63	74	1,827	431	13,600	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
668**	111	12	43	1,285	147	143,692	3,500	7	-	-	-	-	
669**	30	152	26	4,568	375	24,652	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
670**	30	98	23	2,947	270	25,502	1,850	-	-	-	-	-	
671**	3	65	10	196	11	8,909	-	-	-	-	-	-	
672**	59	93	66	5,465	460	26,757	3,030	16	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE													
1	56	54	55	3,005	257	98,624	18,150	-	-	-	-	-	
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN													
1	30	20	17	613	268	100,962	25,083	26	-	-	-	-	
2	59	25	37	1,496	311	206,020	70,500	2	-	-	-	-	
OHIO, NORTHERN													
1	47	11	207	514	104	42,534	744	RELATED TO NO. 3					

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
OHIO, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
2	MATIA	LONG	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/17/99	30	-	30
3	MATIA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/00	30	1	60
4	MANOS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/12/00	30	1	60
5	O'MALLEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/25/00	30	-	30
6	MANOS	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/00	30	-	30
7	O'MALLEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/10/00	30	2	90
8	CARR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/10/00	30	1	60
9	WELLS	SERRANO	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/11/00	30	-	30
10	WELLS	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	04/11/00	30	-	30
11	WELLS	KEENEY	FRAUD	EF	B	04/13/00	30	1	60
12	O'MALLEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/00	30	-	30
13	O'MALLEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/19/00	30	-	30
14	MANOS	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/00	30	-	30
15	CARR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/00	30	1	60
16	WELLS	KEENEY	FRAUD	EF	B	07/06/00	30	-	30
17	WELLS	KEENEY	FRAUD	WS	B	07/06/00	30	1	60
18	MANOS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/31/00	30	1	60
19	MATIA	BAMBERGER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/16/00	30	1	60
20	MATIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/16/00	30	1	60
21	MANOS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/29/00	30	1	60
22	MANOS	SERRANO	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/08/00	30	-	30
OHIO, SOUTHERN									
1	RICE	CHEMA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/99	30	2	90
2	RICE	CHEMA	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/03/99	30	1	60
3	SPIEGEL	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/04/00	30	-	30
4	SPIEGEL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/04/00	30	1	60
5	SPIEGEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/00	30	-	30
6	SARGAS	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/09/00	30	3	120
7	SARGAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/00	30	2	90
8	RICE	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	B	10/19/00	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
OHIO, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
2	29	6	51	171	25	1,616	-	RELATED TO NO. 3					
3	49	34	138	1,646	267	22,204	288	9	-	-	-	-	-
4	46	16	128	740	406	51,140	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	15	15	44	227	38	10,210	117	30	-	-	-	-	28
6	30	19	61	579	497	RELATED TO NO. 4							
7	86	34	83	2,930	1,457	56,946	442	RELATED TO NO. 5					
8	60	25	131	1,520	1,167	97,770	3,545	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	26	-	6	NR	NR	1,282	-	RELATED TO NO. 3					
10	I	-	-	-	-	427	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	60	81	319	4,861	3,721	13,456	1,514	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	I	-	-	-	-	461	34	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	25	12	56	309	175	24,474	592	RELATED TO NO. 5					
14	23	21	61	494	465	7,346	1,500	RELATED TO NO. 5					
15	60	32	55	1,942	480	51,521	545	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	29	28	90	805	603	6,063	1,136	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	60	346	2,027	20,789	19,153	114,873	3,028	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	60	53	214	3,168	442	51,050	311	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	58	4	NR	232	NR	430	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
20	60	6	34	370	118	1,952	603	1	-	-	-	-	-
21	48	24	76	1,171	354	21,416	350	24	-	-	-	-	-
22	20	24	106	471	106	731	-	RELATED TO NO. 21					
OHIO, SOUTHERN													
1	85	41	410	3,482	237	407,123	94,829	6	-	-	-	-	-
2	49	40	279	1,970	86	RELATED TO NO. 1							
3	24	30	19	728	68	15,407	1,500	10	-	-	-	-	4
4	51	52	23	2,652	120	62,706	1,620	10	-	-	-	-	4
5	19	9	9	171	40	600	600	RELATED TO NO. 4					
6	100	29	65	2,945	145	RELATED TO NO. 4							
7	72	41	88	2,955	200	RELATED TO NO. 4							
8	57	56	59	3,197	269	37,854	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN									
1	KERN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/00	30	-	30
2	HOLMES	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	B	02/04/00	30	-	30
3	KERN	LITCHFIELD	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/17/00	30	-	30
4	HOLMES	LITCHFIELD	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/25/00	30	-	30
5	HOLMES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/00	30	-	30
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN									
1	LEONARD	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	11/03/99	30	1	60
2	RUSSELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/17/99	30	-	30
3	RUSSELL	WARREN	BRIBERY	WS	B	02/11/00	30	-	30
4	RUSSELL	KEENEY	BRIBERY	WS	B	02/25/00	30	2	90
5	RUSSELL	GERSHEL	BRIBERY	WS,WC	B,D	03/10/00	30	1	60
6	RUSSELL	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/07/00	30	2	90
7	THOMPSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/00	30	1	60
8	THOMPSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/22/00	30	-	30
9	WEST	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/00	30	1	60
OREGON									
1	JONES	WARREN	GAMBLING	WS,EF	H	01/04/00	30	-	30
2	AIKEN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/10/00	30	1	60
3	AIKEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	02/03/00	30	1	60
4	HAGGERTY	DEITS	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/27/00	30	-	30
5	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	04/13/00	30	-	30
6	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/00	30	1	60
7	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/00	30	1	60
8	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/22/00	30	-	30
9	KING	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	06/08/00	30	3	120
10	KING	DEITS	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/21/00	30	-	30
11	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/00	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN									
1	KELLY	OLOFSSON	FIREARMS	ED	D	04/14/00	30	-	30
2	ANTWERPEN	GERSHEL	\$LAUNDERING	WS	B	06/06/00	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					Persons Convicted
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			
										G	D	P	
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN													
1	10	96	86	959	124	20,100	4,271	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	28	277	852	65	39,427	1,979	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	29	104	356	3,016	400	57,001	9,776	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	27	9	15	235	17	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	22	54	95	1,178	180	36,491	4,702	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN													
1	59	20	26	1,209	461	74,228	1,419	10	1	-	5	-	18
2	26	17	15	436	159	28,390	2,375	RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	30	33	73	975	170	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	49	61	139	2,995	508	92,364	1,503	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	51	26	62	1,302	168	17,173	3,076	2	1	-	2	-	2
6	90	84	609	7,574	1,313	150,000	10,000	26	-	-	-	-	15
7	60	81	80	4,845	2,907	145,920	-	10	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	17	21	503	4	43,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 6					
9	42	11	15	478	149	105,792	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON													
1	29	65	49	1,883	1,287	23,101	1,889	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	37	207	2,235	783	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	-
3	35	12	101	418	152	28,920	2,500	27	-	-	-	-	9
4	30	1	NR	43	NR	2,906	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	54	126	1,621	1,153	RELATED TO NO. 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	31	14	66	439	77	15,388	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	51	147	312	7,489	6,084	RELATED TO NO. 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
8	26	61	121	1,573	737	85,304	4,728	38	-	-	-	-	-
9	96	39	408	3,706	551	57,062	6,152	5	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	-
11	5	12	19	60	-	RELATED TO NO. 9		-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN													
1	30	50	1	1,510	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	96	1,241	2,888	251	8,718	638	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
3	BRODY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	07/17/00	30	-	30
4	BRODY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/14/00	30	1	60
5	PADOVA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/00	30	2	90
673**	YOHAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/99	30	-	30
674**	BARTLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/99	30	1	60
675**	YOHAN	ROBINSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/15/99	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE									
1	VANASKIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/13/99	30	1	60
2	VANASKIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/00	30	-	30
3	MUNLEY	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	04/04/00	30	-	30
4	MUNLEY	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WS	B	05/15/00	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN									
1	MCLAUGHLIN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	OM	O	02/08/99	30	12	390
2	MCLAUGHLIN	PICCININI	NARCOTICS	ED	R	02/25/00	30	1	60
3	MCLAUGHLIN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	OM	O	10/04/00	30	-	30
PUERTO RICO									
1	CASELLAS	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/00	30	-	30
2	JIMENEZ	VASQUEZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/11/00	30	-	30
3	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/00	30	1	38
4	JIMENEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/00	30	-	30
5	JIMENEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/00	30	-	30
6	DOMINGUEZ	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/00	30	-	30
7	GIMENEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/00	30	-	30
8	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/00	30	-	30
9	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	OM	O	09/25/00	30	-	30
10	LAFFITTE	DIGREGORY	ROBBERY	WC	D	09/29/00	30	-	30
569*	FUSTE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/98	30	-	30
SOUTH CAROLINA									
1	HERLONG	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS	H,B	08/01/00	30	1	60
2	DUFFY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/00	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
3	30	70	25	2,103	85	18,874	185	-	-	-	-	-	
4	60	20	21	1,222	123	53,032	90	-	-	-	-	-	
5	90	66	103	5,944	948	105,222	200	-	-	-	-	-	
673**	7	-	3	3	-	6,801	235	-	-	-	-	-	
674**	58	33	54	1,940	1,014	37,109	4,782	-	-	-	-	-	
675**	15	-	-	-	-	5,876	25	-	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE													
1	51	30	38	1,518	137	119,411	1,080	25	-	-	-	5	
2	23	5	13	107	13	19,087	1,604	RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	30	23	46	686	180	23,755	800	RELATED TO NO. 4					
4	20	33	28	663	99	32,793	500	8	-	-	-	-	
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN													
1	15	1	14	15	15	12,442	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	60	5	NR	286	NR	423	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	1	1	3	1	1	7,717	2,020	-	-	-	-	-	
PUERTO RICO													
1	10	35	7	353	172	13,305	1,650	-	-	-	-	-	
2	30	14	10	416	62	69,600	15,000	23	-	-	-	-	
3	38	51	22	1,937	444	45,238	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	
4	4	-	-	-	-	11,240	5,000	RELATED TO NO. 2					
5	20	15	10	296	46	51,390	15,000	RELATED TO NO. 2					
6	27	24	20	657	247	RELATED TO NO. 3							
7	29	86	47	2,503	313	31,193	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
8	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	7	1	3	7	-	5,126	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	
10	28	57	9	1,609	156	29,560	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	
569*	22	7	3	144	4	24,000	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	
SOUTH CAROLINA													
1	60	26	92	1,535	319	20,305	1,106	-	-	-	-	-	
2	36	100	29	3,584	536	35,533	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
SOUTH CAROLINA (CONTINUED)									
3	DUFFY	SHEALY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/00	30	-	30
4	DUFFY	SHEALY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/24/00	30	-	30
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE									
1	ECHOLS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/00	30	1	60
2	ECHOLS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/00	30	-	30
TENNESSEE, WESTERN									
1	GIBBONS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/07/00	30	-	30
2	MCCALLA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/00	30	1	60
TEXAS, NORTHERN									
1	MALONEY	MCMURREY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/08/99	30	4	150
2	MALONEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/10/99	30	2	90
3	MEANS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/23/99	30	-	30
4	MEANS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/23/99	30	2	90
5	SANDERS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/00	30	1	60
6	FISH	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/00	30	-	30
7	FISH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/00	30	1	60
8	FITZWATER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/00	30	-	30
9	FITZWATER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/00	30	-	30
676**	FITZWATER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	B	11/06/98	30	5	180
677**	SOLIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/99	30	4	150
678**	BUCHMEYER	ROSS	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/01/99	30	-	30
679**	KENDALL	ROSS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/99	30	-	30
680**	MALONEY	SIMS	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/10/99	30	-	30
TEXAS, EASTERN									
1	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/99	30	5	180
2	BROWN	HOROWITZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/29/99	30	2	90
3	BROWN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/03/00	30	-	30
4	BROWN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/00	30	2	90
5	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/13/00	30	-	30
681**	BROWN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/24/99	30	3	120

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					Persons Convicted
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			
										G	D	P	
SOUTH CAROLINA (CONTINUED)													
3	16	91	162	1,454	116	69,896	14,600	3	-	-	-	-	-
4	20	73	162	1,454	116	37,640	14,600	RELATED TO NO. 3					
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE													
1	48	16	54	755	226	102,885	600	22	-	-	-	-	-
2	28	64	58	1,800	855	RELATED TO NO. 1							
TENNESSEE, WESTERN													
1	29	32	10	937	25	163,760	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	50	30	3,000	630	147,524	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, NORTHERN													
1	141	4	176	582	478	1,199	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	74	10	47	742	170	67,036	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
3	29	42	80	1,224	325	38,070	1,026	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	78	65	144	5,031	483	101,404	481	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	58	7	59	409	56	RELATED TO NO. 9							
6	30	54	109	1,634	485	RELATED TO NO. 9							
7	51	61	129	3,087	568	RELATED TO NO. 9							
8	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	26	101	773	336	163,538	20,000	28	-	-	-	-	26
676**	180	19	25	3,477	204	174,480	6,000	6	-	-	-	-	6
677**	150	13	25	2,018	321	147,900	7,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
678**	30	5	35	143	22	39,140	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
679**	30	28	54	850	25	39,140	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
680**	27	1	8	20	2	RELATED TO NO. 2							
TEXAS, EASTERN													
1	178	78	409	13,922	8,273	RELATED TO NO. 4							
2	88	71	232	6,264	3,760	RELATED TO NO. 4							
3	27	39	107	1,042	569	RELATED TO NO. 4							
4	86	25	123	2,131	1,438	2,044,702	38,250	28	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	22	51	673	369	RELATED TO NO. 4							
681**	99	55	236	5,419	1,523	RELATED TO NO. 4							

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
1	KAZEN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/99	30	2	90
2	VELA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/99	30	2	90
3	HINOJOSA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/07/99	30	1	60
4	VELA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/31/99	30	1	60
5	KAZEN	ROBINSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/00	30	1	60
6	GILMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/16/00	30	3	120
7	ATLAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/00	30	2	90
8	JACK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/00	30	1	60
9	HINOJOSA	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/05/00	30	1	60
10	TAGLE	KEENEY	FRAUD	OM	O	06/02/00	30	-	30
11	HITTNER	KEENEY	SMUGGLING	WS,EF	H,D	06/08/00	30	-	30
12	HITTNER	HIPPARD	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/09/00	30	-	30
13	HINOJOSA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/00	30	-	30
14	HITTNER	WARREN	SMUGGLING	WC	D	06/27/00	30	-	30
15	HOYT	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/00	30	-	30
16	HOYT	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/00	30	1	60
17	HINOJOSA	TREVINO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/00	30	-	30
18	HITTNER	SWARTZ	SMUGGLING	WC	D	08/04/00	30	-	30
19	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/00	30	1	60
20	HINOJOSA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/00	30	1	60
21	LAKE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/16/00	30	-	30
22	LAKE	HIPPARD	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/25/00	30	-	30
23	LAKE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/00	30	1	60
24	HARMON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/00	30	-	30
25	WERLEIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/03/00	30	1	60
26	HINOJOSA	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/03/00	30	-	30
27	WERLEIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/00	30	1	60
28	TAGLE	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/26/00	30	-	30
29	WERLEIN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/30/00	30	-	30
30	HINOJOSA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/00	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
1	71	9	32	642	140	99,340	4,680	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	89	54	7,980	417	125,667	23,848	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	60	132	3,604	135	7,690	2,837	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	137	55	8,208	739	83,932	15,899	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	10	8	623	85	133,626	12,148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	118	19	34	2,191	143	190,399	2,380	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	88	66	200	5,844	1,982	106,938	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	2
8	51	8	36	419	153	114,358	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	31	220	107	6,805	170	100,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	25	2	33	60	22	17,579	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	10	32	295	51	107,100	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	-	-	-	-	880	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	23	93	73	2,136	250	100,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	11	7	21	75	31	115,300	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	30	55	21	1,636	364	61,248	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	56	23	44	1,278	520	106,020	2,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	29	27	15	771	77	320,814	175,350	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	30	7	35	220	94	124,300	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	60	19	66	1,167	252	65,520	1,530	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	55	69	119	3,804	613	179,000	89,000	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	30	-	-	-	-	2,217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	39	63	26	2,467	613	61,669	1,525	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	30	13	10	401	66	26,009	740	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	60	55	22	3,296	682	73,955	1,575	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	15	35	53	521	347	48,300	19,500	RELATED TO NO. 20						-
27	60	39	40	2,322	484	88,190	1,630	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	29	50	39	1,454	340	46,830	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	I	-	-	-	-	720	275	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	24	27	39	644	301	71,340	19,500	RELATED TO NO. 20						-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
31	HUGHES	SWARTZ	SMUGGLING	WC	D	11/24/00	30	-	30
32	ROSENTHAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/27/00	30	-	30
682**	LAKE	HOROWITZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/11/99	30	4	150
TEXAS, WESTERN									
1	HUDSPETH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/20/99	30	2	90
2	BIERY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS	B	03/01/00	30	-	30
3	PRADO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/17/00	30	-	30
4	BIERY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/00	30	-	30
5	BRIONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/18/00	30	-	30
6	BRIONES	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/00	30	-	30
7	BRIONES	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/00	30	1	60
683**	HUDSPETH	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	10/26/99	30	-	30
684**	BRIONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/99	30	1	60
UTAH									
1	KIMBALL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/27/00	30	-	30
2	KIMBALL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/00	30	1	60
3	KIMBALL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/00	30	2	90
4	KIMBALL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/00	30	1	60
5	KIMBALL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/05/00	30	1	60
VIRGINIA, EASTERN									
1	HILTON	GERSHEL	THEFT	WC	D	10/18/00	30	-	30
685**	SPENCER	HOROWITZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/99	30	1	60
WISCONSIN, EASTERN									
1	CURRAN	SANDERS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/00	30	1	60
2	CLEVERT	GONZALES	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/18/00	30	-	30
3	RANDA	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	09/06/00	30	-	30
4	RANDA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/31/00	30	-	30
WISCONSIN, WESTERN									
1	SHABAZ	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/00	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

**TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS**

CALENDAR YEAR 2000

**REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
31	10	4	15	38	17	143,300	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	
32	26	196	26	5,087	537	43,632	2,950	-	-	-	-	-	
682**	107	28	327	2,984	851	228,381	98,781	-	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS, WESTERN													
1	81	43	219	3,449	424	38,489	22,000	6	-	-	-	-	
2	30	24	43	719	29	189,960	3,000	8	1	-	-	8	
3	18	12	35	218	2	RELATED TO NO. 2							
4	29	53	1,534	3,068	614	145,000	3,000	22	-	-	-	22	
5	21	55	77	1,150	269	9,053	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	
6	16	45	60	721	92	6,004	800	1	-	-	-	-	
7	48	42	41	2,035	286	30,063	22,000	6	-	-	-	-	
683**	30	2	16	65	23	5,793	458	-	-	-	-	-	
684**	57	57	65	3,232	490	10,349	312	-	-	-	-	-	
UTAH													
1	16	53	49	855	181	21,768	1,030	8	-	-	-	3	
2	60	15	55	921	239	40,071	11,440	RELATED TO NO. 5					
3	75	44	205	3,305	913	90,730	2,060	RELATED TO NO. 5					
4	45	96	197	4,334	1,002	RELATED TO NO. 3		RELATED TO NO. 5					
5	45	70	185	3,152	905	36,655	701	19	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA, EASTERN													
1	30	36	99	1,088	271	21,164	691	-	-	-	-	-	
685**	43	8	20	356	181	103,131	2,000	19	-	-	-	-	
WISCONSIN, EASTERN													
1	60	65	50	3,900	121	129,000	115,000	20	-	-	-	-	
2	30	41	35	1,230	212	64,646	35,500	30	-	-	-	-	
3	22	72	67	1,584	138	25,743	781	-	-	-	-	-	
4	30	64	129	1,906	140	28,970	790	-	-	-	-	-	
WISCONSIN, WESTERN													
1	30	224	500	6,720	2,589	13,303	2,229	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 1998 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

**This wiretap was terminated during 1999, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.