

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2004\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1,633</b>	<b>1,530</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4,506</b>	<b>634</b>
<b>FEDERAL</b>	<b>723</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2,389</b>	<b>186</b>
<b>ARIZONA</b>							
MARICOPA	1	1	-	-	-	15	5
PIMA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	7	-	-	1	65	2
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>							
ALAMEDA	3	2	-	-	1	-	-
FRESNO	1	1	-	-	-	63	-
IMPERIAL	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	90	89	-	1	-	204	62
ORANGE	8	1	-	-	7	5	-
RIVERSIDE	12	8	-	-	4	23	1
SAN BERNARDINO	27	27	-	-	-	59	25
SAN DIEGO	17	16	-	-	1	130	35
TEHAMA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>DELAWARE</b>							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	3	-	1	-	13	1
<b>FLORIDA</b>							
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	14	14	-	-	-	60	28
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	5	5	-	-	-	15	12
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	1	-	-	-	21	9
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	13	13	-	-	-	51	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	6	5	1	-	-	14	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	23	23	-	-	-	71	10
<b>GEORGIA</b>							
AUGUSTA	2	2	-	-	-	13	11
BIBB	3	3	-	-	-	59	-
CHATHAM	3	3	-	-	-	9	4
CLAYTON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON	9	9	-	-	-	10	2
GWINNETT	4	4	-	-	-	14	-
HOUSTON	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
<b>ILLINOIS</b>							
CLARK	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1	-	-	-	1	1	1
PIATT	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	14	-	14	-	-	17	-

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2004 (Continued)\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
<b>MARYLAND</b>							
BALTIMORE	20	19	-	1	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
CARROLL	4	4	-	-	-	18	10
CECIL	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>							
ESSEX	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	8	7	1	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MINNESOTA</b>							
CLAY	1	-	1	-	-	1	1
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>							
RANKIN	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SIMPSON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>NEVADA</b>							
CLARK	2	1	-	-	1	1	-
ELKO	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>							
BERGEN	23	21	2	-	-	12	-
BURLINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	5	5	-	-	-	20	2
GLOUCESTER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	10	10	-	-	-	36	3
MIDDLESEX	2	2	-	-	-	97	-
PASSAIC	42	42	-	-	-	156	-
SOMERSET	5	4	-	1	-	35	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	15	15	-	-	-	33	-
UNION	19	17	-	2	-	51	-
<b>NEW YORK</b>							
ALBANY	3	2	-	-	1	10	10
FRANKLIN	7	7	-	-	-	9	-
HERKIMER	3	3	-	-	-	5	-
KINGS	10	9	1	-	-	-	-
MONROE	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	3	2	-	-	1	24	2
NEW YORK	11	11	-	-	-	24	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	18	15	2	-	1	69	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	71	63	-	8	-	60	30
ONEIDA	6	6	-	-	-	11	11

**Table 6**  
**Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed**  
**January 1 Through December 31, 2004 (Continued)\***

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
<b>NEW YORK (CONTINUED)</b>							
ORANGE	2	-	-	-	2	24	23
OSWEGO	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	135	125	1	9	-	107	64
RICHMOND	2	2	-	-	-	19	15
ROCKLAND	4	4	-	-	-	21	8
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	34	29	2	3	-	48	27
WESTCHESTER	24	24	-	-	-	31	8
<b>OHIO</b>							
WASHINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	12	9
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>							
GARFIELD	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN	1	1	-	-	-	23	-
OKLAHOMA	14	14	-	-	-	77	-
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>							
ALLEGHENY	8	8	-	-	-	23	-
CHESTER	5	5	-	-	-	8	2
MONTGOMERY	5	4	1	-	-	31	12
PIKE	2	2	-	-	-	1	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	10	-	-	-	19	-
<b>TENNESSEE</b>							
DAVIDSON	36	36	-	-	-	33	-
<b>WISCONSIN</b>							
MILWAUKEE	2	2	-	-	-	36	3

\* NP = No prosecutor's report.

\*\* Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

\*\*\* Convictions resulting from interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.