

**Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2013**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
TOTAL	3,576	116	1,198	47	2,331	2,129	30	30	92,788	8	9	3,455	47	32	16	9
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS																
FEDERAL TOTAL	1,476	34	1,097	2	377	666	30	30	13,196	3	3	1,441	8	2	11	8
ALABAMA, MIDDLE	5	-	5	-	-	4	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
ALABAMA, NORTHERN	24	-	22	-	2	3	30	30	60	-	-	24	-	-	-	-
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN	19	3	16	-	3	5	30	30	72	-	-	17	-	-	2	-
ALASKA	4	-	2	-	2	2	30	30	67	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	96	-	87	-	9	86	30	30	430	1	-	95	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS, EASTERN	19	1	16	-	3	4	30	30	130	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS, WESTERN	2	-	1	-	1	2	30	30	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	59	3	36	-	23	30	30	30	911	-	-	57	2	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN	10	-	4	-	6	2	30	30	136	-	-	9	-	-	-	1
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	30	-	30	-	-	8	30	30	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	124	-	107	-	17	127	30	30	663	-	1	123	-	-	-	-
COLORADO	56	4	33	-	23	29	30	30	986	-	-	56	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	34	3	25	-	9	11	30	30	377	-	-	34	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	5	-	5	-	-	4	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	24	1	20	-	4	26	30	30	257	1	-	23	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, MIDDLE	28	-	23	-	5	9	30	30	145	-	-	28	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, NORTHERN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN	47	1	39	-	8	18	30	30	299	-	-	46	-	-	-	1
GEORGIA, MIDDLE	5	-	1	-	4	5	30	30	216	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, NORTHERN	43	1	31	-	12	6	30	30	449	-	-	43	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN	8	2	8	-	-	4	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	3	-
IDAHO	5	-	5	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN	47	-	13	-	34	22	30	30	374	-	-	47	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN	6	-	3	-	3	-	30	-	90	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, NORTHERN	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	20	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, SOUTHERN	31	2	21	-	10	6	30	30	297	-	-	29	1	-	1	-
IOWA, NORTHERN	10	-	5	-	5	2	29	30	176	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
IOWA, SOUTHERN	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	20	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	5	-	5	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	3	-	-	2	-
KENTUCKY, EASTERN	20	-	16	-	4	13	30	30	146	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, WESTERN	49	-	37	-	12	15	30	30	409	-	-	49	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, EASTERN	21	2	17	-	4	2	30	30	111	-	-	21	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, MIDDLE	5	-	4	-	1	5	30	30	58	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, WESTERN	16	1	14	-	2	1	30	30	57	-	-	11	5	-	-	-
MAINE	18	-	14	-	4	2	30	30	115	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	11	1	2	-	9	13	30	30	439	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	6	-	6	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2013 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS																
MICHIGAN, EASTERN	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	90	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	6	-	3	-	3	1	30	30	68	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	4	-	3	-	1	-	30	-	15	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN	74	1	50	1	23	13	30	30	829	-	-	71	-	1	1	1
MISSOURI, WESTERN	6	-	2	-	4	4	30	30	228	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	12	-	12	-	-	6	30	30	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	26	1	25	-	1	6	30	30	30	-	-	26	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5	-	5	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	7	-	7	-	-	6	30	30	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	21	-	15	-	6	4	30	30	140	-	-	21	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, EASTERN	4	-	3	-	1	2	30	30	29	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	16	-	9	-	7	11	30	30	359	1	-	14	-	1	-	-
NEW YORK, WESTERN	9	-	4	-	5	2	30	30	184	-	1	8	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	16	2	12	-	4	11	30	30	197	-	-	16	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	2	-	1	-	1	2	30	30	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN	4	-	2	-	2	1	30	30	56	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
OHIO, NORTHERN	15	1	14	-	1	8	30	30	90	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
OHIO, SOUTHERN	4	-	4	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	12	-	4	-	8	-	30	-	196	-	-	8	-	-	-	4
OREGON	12	-	5	1	6	4	30	30	181	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	4	-	-	-	4	4	30	30	231	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	18	-	18	-	-	9	30	30	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	27	-	27	-	-	15	30	30	-	-	1	26	-	-	-	-
PUERTO RICO	2	-	2	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	30	90	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	10	-	10	-	-	5	30	30	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, EASTERN	30	-	26	-	4	2	30	30	148	-	-	30	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE	12	-	12	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, EASTERN	15	-	15	-	-	4	30	30	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, NORTHERN	38	-	25	-	13	1	30	30	361	-	-	38	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	47	3	15	-	32	15	30	30	1,075	-	-	47	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, WESTERN	59	-	41	-	18	23	30	30	632	-	-	59	-	-	-	-
UTAH	5	1	5	-	-	5	30	30	-	-	-	4	-	-	1	-
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	37	-	31	-	6	21	30	29	290	-	-	35	-	-	1	1
VIRGINIA, WESTERN	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON, WESTERN	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	3	-	3	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN	7	-	7	-	-	3	30	30	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN, EASTERN	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	58	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

**Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2013 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS																
WISCONSIN, WESTERN	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	20	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	5	4	-	-	5	9	30	30	304	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	23	2	-	2	21	34	30	30	1,300	-	-	23	-	-	-	-
YUMA	3	-	-	-	3	2	30	30	148	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA																
ALAMEDA	20	-	-	1	19	-	30	-	302	-	-	19	1	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	4	1	-	-	4	-	30	-	90	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	94	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
IMPERIAL	2	-	-	-	2	6	30	30	240	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
KERN	10	-	-	-	10	-	30	-	188	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
KINGS	15	-	-	-	15	-	30	-	215	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	148	-	-	4	144	59	30	30	5,684	-	-	147	1	-	-	-
MERCED	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	59	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	11	-	-	-	11	3	30	30	286	-	-	2	9	-	-	-
ORANGE	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	69	-	-	3	1	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	341	-	-	11	330	143	30	30	12,594	-	-	341	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	8	-	-	-	8	1	30	30	144	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	43	2	-	-	43	41	30	30	2,250	-	-	43	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	18	3	-	-	18	7	30	30	633	-	-	17	1	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	9	-	-	1	8	-	30	-	138	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	137	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	1	1	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	149	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	14	1	-	-	14	3	30	30	400	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
SHASTA	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	18	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	13	-	-	-	13	2	30	30	285	-	-	12	1	-	-	-
VENTURA	13	9	-	-	13	6	30	30	521	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
COLORADO																
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	57	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	12	-	-	-	12	1	30	30	266	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	5	-	5	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	9	-	2	-	7	2	30	30	227	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	26	-	-	-	26	9	30	30	779	-	-	26	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2013 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving	None Specified
COLORADO																
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	4	1	1	-	3	-	30	-	18	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	15	-	-	-	15	5	30	30	473	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT																
HARTFORD	3	-	-	-	3	4	25	15	93	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA																
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	62	-	-	2	60	15	30	30	1,656	-	-	62	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	8	1	-	-	8	2	28	30	218	-	-	6	2	-	-	-
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	42	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	14	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	104	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
12TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SARASOTA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	13	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	3	1	-	-	3	1	30	30	96	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	9	-	-	-	9	-	30	-	216	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	9	-	2	-	7	1	30	30	235	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	24	-	-	-	24	3	30	30	676	-	-	24	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA																
BELL-FORSYTH	4	-	-	-	4	4	30	30	191	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
CARROLL	1	1	-	-	1	-	30	-	13	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	5	-	-	-	5	2	30	30	188	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
CHEROKEE	39	-	-	1	38	5	30	30	1,135	-	-	39	-	-	-	-
COBB	47	-	-	1	46	11	30	30	1,015	-	-	47	-	-	-	-
DEKALB	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	125	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
DOUGLAS	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	83	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
FULTON	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	132	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	5	1	-	-	5	21	30	30	399	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	144	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
OCONEE	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	120	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
ROCKDALE	4	4	2	-	2	6	30	30	119	-	-	4	-	-	-	-

**Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2013 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
GEORGIA																
SUMTER	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	83	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
WAYCROSS	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	141	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
WHITE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS																
COOK	24	-	-	-	24	-	30	-	430	-	-	24	-	-	-	-
DUPAGE	22	-	-	1	21	2	30	30	413	-	-	22	-	-	-	-
LAKE	12	2	-	-	12	-	30	-	360	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	3	-	-	-	3	2	30	30	120	-	-	1	2	-	-	-
KANSAS																
FORD	1	1	-	-	1	1	30	30	49	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
GEARY	7	-	7	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
JOHNSON	6	2	6	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA																
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (OUACHITA AND MOREHOUSE)	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND																
BALTIMORE	9	-	-	-	9	4	30	30	242	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	2	-	2	-	-	1	18	30	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
FREDERICK	4	-	-	-	4	6	30	30	158	-	-	2	2	-	-	-
HARFORD	20	-	1	-	19	9	26	30	461	1	-	19	-	-	-	-
HOWARD	8	-	-	-	8	1	30	30	194	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	1	-	-	2	-	30	-	41	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
WICOMICO	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	30	36	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS																
BERKSHIRE	10	-	-	-	10	8	22	23	189	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	15	43	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA																
RAMSEY	4	1	-	-	4	4	30	30	157	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI																
COVINGTON	4	-	-	-	4	4	30	30	220	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
LEE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	90	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
OKTIBBEHA	3	-	-	1	2	-	30	-	28	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
TISHOMINGO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	14	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA																
DOUGLAS	8	-	1	-	7	-	30	-	193	-	-	8	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2013 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)		Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Original Authorization		Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
NEVADA																
CLARK	187	2	-	9	178	48	30	30	5,765	-	-	187	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																
ATLANTIC	6	-	-	-	6	30	30	30	1,018	-	-	2	3	1	-	-
CAMDEN	8	1	8	-	-	7	30	30	-	-	-	6	-	1	1	-
CAPE MAY	5	-	-	-	5	1	28	30	70	-	-	1	-	4	-	-
CUMBERLAND	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	12	-	-	-	-	3	-	-
ESSEX	9	-	-	-	9	-	30	-	37	-	-	3	1	5	-	-
GLOUCESTER	3	-	-	-	3	6	30	30	176	-	-	1	-	2	-	-
HUDSON	19	2	-	-	19	47	30	30	756	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
MERCER	3	-	-	-	3	-	20	-	60	-	-	1	-	2	-	-
MIDDLESEX	2	-	-	1	1	1	30	30	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	7	-	4	-	3	7	30	30	164	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	2	-	1	-	1	2	30	30	32	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
SALEM	11	2	-	-	11	8	30	30	245	-	-	3	8	-	-	-
SOMERSET	21	-	8	-	13	5	30	30	246	-	-	20	-	-	1	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	1	10	-	18	11	30	30	373	1	-	27	-	-	-	-
UNION	7	1	6	-	1	3	29	30	1	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO																
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (TAOS, COLFAX, UNION)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK																
BRONX	15	6	4	-	11	27	30	30	576	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
ERIE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
KINGS	6	-	-	-	6	14	30	30	514	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
MONROE	1	-	1	-	-	10	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	37	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	38	1	2	1	35	54	30	30	1,866	-	2	36	-	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	7	4	-	-	7	40	30	30	1,259	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	138	4	-	4	134	90	30	30	5,204	-	-	128	-	10	-	-
ONEIDA	1	1	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	171	5	1	2	168	513	30	30	17,330	1	4	164	-	-	1	1
RICHMOND	12	-	2	-	10	16	30	29	632	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	1	-	-	9	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	18	9	-	-	18	15	30	30	750	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	66	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	22	-	2	1	19	-	30	-	509	-	-	21	-	-	1	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2013 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
OHIO																
CUYAHOGA	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	37	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	25	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	6	-	2	1	3	1	30	30	59	-	-	5	1	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	-	-	-	9	-	30	-	173	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
OREGON																
LANE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	25	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MARION	3	-	-	-	3	-	15	-	45	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
BERKS	7	-	-	-	7	-	30	-	84	-	-	5	-	2	-	-
MONTGOMERY	12	-	3	-	9	-	30	-	100	-	-	10	1	-	1	-
PHILADELPHIA	17	-	-	-	17	2	30	105	668	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
POTTER	1	-	-	1	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	26	-	1	-	25	8	30	30	643	-	-	26	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE																
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BRADLEY)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	41	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HAMILTON)	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	117	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (RUTHERFORD)	3	1	-	-	3	3	30	30	156	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SUMNER)	4	-	4	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MONTGOMERY)	11	-	-	-	11	4	30	30	281	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
TEXAS																
BURNET	4	1	1	-	3	1	30	30	108	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	3	-	2	-	1	1	30	30	54	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	1	1	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN																
DANE	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	23	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
WYOMING																
FREMONT	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	44	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
NATRONA	4	-	-	-	4	2	30	30	131	-	-	4	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2013 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)		Location Authorized in Original Application					
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other

*Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

**Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.