

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2006

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Total Number of Days in Operation	Location Authorized in Original Application					
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Personal Residence		Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
TOTAL	1,839	94	96	29	1,714	1,228	29	29	68,380	48	13	1,685	53	24	15	1
FEDERAL	461	1	-	-	461	297	30	30	18,978	5	2	447	5	1	1	-
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	10	1	-	-	10	8	27	30	376	-	-	8	2	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	2	-	-	7	6	30	24	328	1	-	5	1	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA																
ALAMEDA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	26	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	5	1	-	-	5	3	30	30	219	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	27	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
KINGS COUNTY	1	-	-	-	1	1	27	26	53	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	233	-	2	3	228	44	30	30	7,378	-	-	230	3	-	-	-
NAPA	1	1	-	-	1	-	30	-	9	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	32	-	-	1	31	8	30	30	917	-	-	30	2	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	23	-	-	1	22	8	30	30	772	-	-	21	2	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	34	-	-	1	33	13	30	30	1,165	-	-	31	3	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	80	-	-	-	80	68	30	30	3,680	-	-	78	2	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	2	1	-	-	2	1	30	30	82	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	18	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
SHASTA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	19	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	70	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
VENTURA	11	-	-	-	11	3	30	30	321	-	-	6	5	-	-	-
COLORADO																
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	4	-	-	-	4	4	30	30	240	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	7	-	-	-	7	2	30	30	217	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	24	-	-	2	22	1	30	30	642	3	-	21	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	6	-	-	-	6	3	30	30	214	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	111	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT																
NEW HAVEN	2	-	-	-	2	-	15	-	12	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA																
1ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ESCAMBRIA)	11	1	-	1	10	4	30	30	320	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	34	5	-	2	32	13	30	30	1,096	-	-	34	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	51	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	23	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	6	2	-	-	6	1	30	30	161	-	1	4	1	-	-	-
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	10	-	-	3	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2006 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)		Total Number of Days in Operation	Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions		Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)																
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	148	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	18	1	-	-	18	15	30	30	712	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	8	1	-	-	8	3	30	30	253	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	2	-	-	10	10	30	22	361	-	-	9	-	-	1	-
GEORGIA																
AUGUSTA	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	18	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
BARROW	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	29	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
BIBB	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	33	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	10	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	102	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
TIFTON	3	-	-	-	3	4	30	30	206	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS																
DUPAGE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
KANSAS																
SHAWNEE	1	1	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND																
BALTIMORE	24	-	-	-	24	8	25	30	628	1	-	17	-	-	6	-
BALTIMORE CITY	27	-	1	-	26	28	29	24	1,282	-	-	26	-	-	-	1
CECIL	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	51	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
FREDERICK	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	26	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	4	-	-	-	4	2	30	30	119	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
HOWARD	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	28	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	6	-	-	-	6	3	30	30	160	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	7	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS																
MIDDLESEX	1	-	-	-	1	-	15	-	10	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
NORFOLK	2	-	-	-	2	-	15	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	-	-	-	14	14	15	15	382	2	-	12	-	-	-	-
NEVADA																
CLARK	8	-	-	-	8	-	23	-	128	2	1	2	2	1	-	-
ELKO	2	-	-	-	2	-	6	-	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																
ATLANTIC	1	-	-	-	1	1	20	10	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	16	-	-	1	15	15	20	10	385	2	-	12	-	-	2	-
BURLINGTON	3	3	-	-	3	-	10	-	30	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	6	-	-	-	6	1	30	30	182	-	-	4	-	1	1	-
ESSEX	3	-	1	-	2	-	7	-	2	2	-	1	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	7	7	7	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-
HUDSON	23	1	-	1	22	5	23	10	317	-	-	21	-	1	1	-
MERCER	8	-	-	-	8	6	20	10	210	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	3	-	-	-	3	-	18	-	40	-	-	2	1	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2006 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)																
MORRIS	13	-	-	-	13	4	22	10	312	1	-	10	-	-	2	-
PASSAIC	26	-	-	-	26	6	21	17	426	-	-	26	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	15	-	-	-	15	11	20	10	351	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	63	4	45	-	18	39	26	27	453	2	2	56	1	1	1	-
WARREN	2	-	-	-	2	2	20	10	59	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO																
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SANTA FE, LOS ALAMOS, RIO ARRIBA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	25	-	25	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	30	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
NEW YORK																
ALBANY	3	2	-	-	3	-	27	-	80	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA	7	-	-	-	7	1	30	14	198	1	-	6	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS	7	-	-	-	7	6	30	30	211	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
FULTON	3	1	-	-	3	1	30	30	109	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
KINGS	23	4	-	-	23	32	27	29	1,363	6	2	15	-	-	-	-
MONROE	9	1	-	-	9	1	30	30	191	-	-	8	-	1	-	-
NASSAU	6	5	-	-	6	5	25	30	252	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	17	3	-	2	15	87	30	29	2,817	1	2	11	3	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	13	6	-	-	13	30	30	30	934	-	-	7	6	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	86	3	-	10	76	44	30	30	3,127	7	-	78	-	1	-	-
ONEIDA	1	1	-	-	1	1	30	30	45	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	129	4	-	3	126	209	27	30	8,680	3	2	121	-	3	-	-
RICHMOND	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	117	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	15	-	-	1	14	15	30	30	755	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA	2	1	-	-	2	3	30	30	96	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	3	2	-	-	3	3	30	30	122	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	3	-	-	4	19	30	30	516	-	-	2	2	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	38	14	38	-	-	46	30	30	-	1	1	36	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	8	2	-	-	8	7	30	30	369	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	11	-	-	-	11	3	30	30	263	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
OHIO																
FRANKLIN	11	6	-	-	11	1	30	30	253	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	17	-	-	-	17	7	30	30	431	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	-	-	-	7	3	30	30	297	-	-	7	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2006 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
OREGON																
LANE	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	17	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
DAUPHIN	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	12	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	11	-	-	-	11	-	26	-	140	1	-	7	-	3	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	17	-	-	-	17	6	28	30	561	1	-	16	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE																
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HAMILTON)	5	-	-	-	5	3	30	30	192	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	18	1	-	-	18	17	30	30	847	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SULLIVAN)	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	180	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
TEXAS																
HARRIS	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	47	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN																
DANE	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	43	-	-	2	-	-	-	-

* Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

** Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.